Nancy L. Vaughan, CPA Deborah F. Fraser, CPA Phil S. Vaughan, CPA



March 13, 2018

City Council and City Management City of Helotes, Texas

We have audited the financial statements of the City of Helotes, Texas for the year ended September 30, 2017 and have issued our report thereon dated March 13, 2018. Professional standards require that we advise you of the following matters related to our audit.

#### Our Responsibility in Relation to the Financial Statement Audit

As communicated in our engagement letter dated October 31, 2014, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to form and express an opinion about whether the financial statements that have been prepared by management with your oversight are presented fairly in all material aspects and in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your respective responsibilities.

Our responsibility, as prescribed by professional standards, is to plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements. An audit of financial statements includes consideration of internal controls over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal controls over financial reporting. Accordingly, as part of our audit, we considered the internal controls of the City of Helotes solely for the purpose of determining our audit procedures and not to provide any assurance concerning such internal controls.

We are also responsible for communicating significant matters related to the audit that are, in our professional judgment, relevant to your responsibilities in overseeing the financial reporting process. However, we are not required to design procedures for the purpose of identifying other matters to communicate to you.

#### Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit

We conducted our audit consistent with the planned scope and timing we previously communicated to you.

#### **Compliance with All Ethical Requirements Regarding Independence**

The engagement team, others in our firm, as appropriate, and our firm have complied with all relevant ethical requirements regarding independence.

#### **Qualitative Aspects of the Entity's Significant Accounting Practices**

#### Significant Accounting Policies

Management has the responsibility to select and use appropriate accounting policies. A summary of the significant accounting policies adopted by the City are described in Note A to the financial statements. There have been no initial selections of accounting policies, nor have there been changes in significant accounting policies or their application during 2017. No matters have come to our attention that would require us, under professional standards, to inform you about (1) the methods used to account for significant unusual transactions or (2) the effect of significant accounting policies in controversial or emerging areas for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

#### Significant Accounting Estimates

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ markedly from management's current judgments. The most sensitive estimates affecting the financial statements were:

- Useful lives of depreciable assets;
- Allowance for uncollectible accounts receivable for Emergency Medical Services; and
- Net Pension Liability and the assumptions for mortality rates and investment returns.

The useful lives of the depreciable assets are based on past history, engineering estimates, and industry standards. The allowance for uncollectibles is based on the City of Helotes' EMS collection history. The estimates related to the Net Pension Liability are based on the actuarial study performed by Texas Municipal Retirement System with no input from the City's management. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop these estimates in determining that they are reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

#### Financial Statement Disclosures

Certain financial statement disclosures involve significant judgment and are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. The most sensitive financial statement disclosures include revenue recognition and employees' retirement systems.

#### Significant Difficulties Encountered during the Audit

We encountered no difficulties in dealing with management relating to the performance of the audit.

#### **Uncorrected and Corrected Misstatements**

For purposes of this communication, professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that we believe are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. Further, professional standards require us to also communicate the effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods on the relevant classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, and the financial statements as a whole and each applicable opinion unit. The following transaction summarizes an uncorrected financial misstatement whose effects in the current and prior periods, as determined by management, are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole: Due to the nonaccrual of a capital lease in the prior year, current year capital assets (net of accumulated depreciation) and leases payable are understated by \$63,000.

In addition, professional standards require us to communicate to you all material, corrected misstatements that were brought to the attention of management as a result of our audit procedures. Correcting entries were made to correct credit card receivables at year end.

#### **Disagreements with Management**

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

#### **Representations Requested from Management**

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated March 13, 2018.

#### **Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants**

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters. Management informed us that, and to our knowledge, there were no consultations with other accountants regarding auditing and accounting matters.

#### Other Significant Matters, Findings, or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the City's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention. During the current audit, we became aware of opportunities to enhance the existing internal control structure and audit trail with the following suggestions:

- 1. The credit card receivables balance was incorrectly reconciled, which resulted in a negative receivable balance and understated revenues.
  - <u>Suggestion:</u> Management should review credit card receivables balances during the monthly bank reconciliation to ensure revenues and related receivables are accurate.
- 2. The City's prior Purchasing Policy requires certain procedures for expenditures over \$500. During Purchasing Policy testing, we noted areas of improvement regarding the documentation of purchase orders and competitive quotes for expenditures that fall in the threshold of \$500 to \$50,000, as required in the Purchasing Policy. The City did provide documentation for purchases over \$50,000.

<u>Suggestion:</u> Purchase orders, competitive quotes and other necessary documents should be stored in order to ensure procurement activities are administered in accordance with the provisions of the City's Purchasing Policy. In addition, the City should consider modifying the existing Purchasing Policy to raise the threshold requiring competitive quotes to \$3,000 in accordance with the Texas Local Government Code.

#### **Compliance with Public Funds Investment Act**

The Public Funds Investment Act (the "Act") requires that we include, as part of our audit, procedures to determine if the City of Helotes had complied with the provisions of the Act. We found the City had complied, in all material respects, with the provisions of the Act.

#### **Trend Analysis**

We have prepared the attached "Trend Analysis" as a financial planning tool for Council and Staff. Sound financial management dictates that a minimum fund balance be maintained that is sufficient to ensure operations will continue uninterrupted and emergencies that arise from time to time will be met. A rule of thumb for a minimum fund balance for the general fund is three to five months average of operating expenditures (exclusive of capital outlay and debt service expenditures). The attachment details the trend over the last five years. This is presented for additional analysis and as a planning tool. We make no recommendation regarding the analysis of this information.

#### **Other Matters**

With respect to the supplementary information accompanying the financial statements, we made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content, and methods of preparing the information to determine that the information complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the method of preparing the information has not changed from the prior period, and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the financial statements. We compared and reconciled the supplementary information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves.

This information is intended solely for the use of the Council and management of the City of Helotes and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Armstrong, Vaughan & Associates, P.C.

Armstrong, Vauspan of Associates, P.C.

March 13, 2018

TREND ANALYSIS	9/30/13	9/30/14	9/30/15	9/30/16	9/30/17
GENERAL GOVERNMENT					
FUND BALANCE - GENERAL FUND (1)	\$ 2,924,244	\$ 3,786,300	\$ 1,660,768	\$ 2,101,643	\$ 1,996,778
AVERAGE MONTHLY OPERATING EXPENDITURES (2)	333,667	360,059	457,589	465,269	523,466
GROWTH RATE PER YEAR - AVERAGE MONTHLY OPERATING EXPENDITURES (3)		7.91%	27.09%	1.68%	12.51%
MONTHS OF AVERAGE MONTHLY					
EXPENDITURES IN FUND BALANCE	8.8	10.5	3.6	4.5	3.8
GENERAL BONDED DEBT	8,450,000	7,905,000	11,650,000	10,965,000	10,250,000
RATIO OF GENERAL BONDED DEBT TO FUND BALANCE	2.9 / 1	2.1 / 1	7.0 / 1	5.2 / 1	5.13 / 1

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes unassigned fund balance only

<sup>(2)</sup> Exclusive of Capital Outlay and Debt Service expenditures.

<sup>(3)</sup> Expenditures in 2015 increased significantly due to the transfer of EMS and Dispatch Services from Proprietary Funds to the General Fund.

Expenditures in 2017 increased significantly due to the repayment of sales tax in accordance with Chapter 380 Economic Development Agreements.

#### **CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS**

## ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017





#### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### INTRODUCTORY SCHEDULE

<u> 1</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
TITLE PAGE	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
PRINCIPAL OFFICERS	iv
	1,
FINANCIAL SECTION	
	<u>PAGE</u>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	3
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	10
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION	11
STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	13
BALANCE SHEET	14
RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	16
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES –	
GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	17
RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGE	S
IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITI	
NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	20
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	41
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE -	
BUDGET AND ACTUAL - GENERAL FUND	42
SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE -	
BUDGET AND ACTUAL - ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	45
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL	46
TEXAS MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM - SCHEDULE OF CHANGES – NET PENSION	
LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS	
SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS	
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS	48
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	49
COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS - GENERAL FUND	50
COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS - ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	
COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS - DEBT SERVICE FUND	52

#### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)**

#### FINANCIAL SECTION (CONTINUED)

PAG	ŝΕ
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - DEBT SERVICE FUND	53
COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	55
COMBINING BALANCE SHEET - NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	56
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	58
COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS - MUNICIPAL COURT SECURITY FUND	60
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - MUNICIPAL COURT SECURITY FUND	61
COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS - MUNICIPAL COURT TECHNOLOGY FUND	62
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND - CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - MUNICIPAL COURT TECHNOLOGY FUND	
COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS - POLICE TRAINING FUND	64
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - POLICE TRAINING FUND.	
COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS - SCHOOL SAFETY FUND	66
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - SCHOOL SAFETY FUND.	
COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS - PEG CAPITAL FUND	68
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - PEG CAPITAL FUND	
COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS - STATE FORFEITURE FUND	70
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - STATE FORFEITURE FUND	71
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - FEDERAL FORFEITURE FUND	72
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - EXPLORER POST FUND	
COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS - STREET MAINTENANCE FUND	74
COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND	
BALANCE - STREET MAINTENANCE FUND	75

#### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)**

#### STATISTICAL SECTION

	<u>PAGE</u>
NET POSITION BY COMPONENT	77
CHANGE IN NET POSITION	79
FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	83
CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	85
TAX REVENUE BY SOURCE, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	87
ASSESSED VALUE AND ACTUAL TAXABLE PROPERTY	88
DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING PROPERTY TAX RATES	89
PRINCIPAL PROPERTY TAX PAYERS	90
PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS	91
RATIOS OF OUTSTANDING DEBT BY TYPE	92
LEGAL DEBT MARGIN	93
DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS	94
PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS	95

#### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS

#### PRINCIPAL OFFICERS

#### **CITY OFFICIALS**

MAYOR THOMAS A. SCHOOLCRAFT

MAYOR PRO-TEMPORE NORBERT "BERT" BUYS

CITY COUNCIL EDWARD VILLANUEVA

ALEX BLUE

CYNTHIA MASSEY


PAUL FRIEDRICHS

CITY ADMINISTRATOR RICK A. SCHRODER

CITY SECRETARY CELINA PEREZ

ATTORNEY DAVIDSON, TROILO, REAM & GARZA P.C.

Nancy L. Vaughan, CPA Deborah F. Fraser, CPA Phil S. Vaughan, CPA



#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Helotes, Texas

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Helotes, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

City of Helotes management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal controls relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Governmental Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The selected procedures depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal controls relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate under the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal controls. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Helotes, as of September 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Other Matters**

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that management's discussion and analysis, budgetary comparison information, and schedule of changes – net pension liability and related ratios, as identified in the Table of Contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management regarding the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's response to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Information

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Helotes' financial statements as a whole. The comparative statements and statistical section are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required to be part of the financial statements. The comparative statements are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

The statistical section has not been subjected to the same auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Armstrong, Vaughan & Associates, P.C.

Armstrong, Vauspan of Associates, P.C.

March 13, 2018

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Section of the City of Helotes' Annual Financial Report presents Management's discussion and analysis of the City's financial performance during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2017. Operating through Mayor Schoolcraft, Management consists of City Administrator Rick Schroder, City Treasurer Susan Wootton, and Accounting Officer Taby Durr. Please read this Section in conjunction with the City's financial statements that follow this Section.

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- Based upon FYE 2017 Government-wide Financial Statements, the City's total net position was \$3.3 million at September 30, 2017. Government-wide Financial Statements include all government components and functions, including, but not limited to, City-wide liquid cash assets, capital assets, restricted fund assets, accumulated depreciation, net pension and other debt liabilities.
- During the year, the City's governmental activity expenses increased 14.5% from the prior year, and were approximately \$469 thousand more than the \$9.0 million generated in taxes and other revenues from governmental activities. Conversely, the City's governmental activity revenues increased 14.5% from the prior year and were approximately \$1.1 million more than the same revenues collected in FYE 2016. The increase in governmental activity expenditures is primarily related to the expenditure of capital project monies for projects, such as the State Highway 16 water and sewer improvements, where the corresponding capital assets could not be capitalized due to TxDOT or SAWS ownership of those affected roadways and utilities. Additionally, the expenditure increases are related to depreciation recorded on municipal capital assets and expenses related to liabilities due in more than one year.
- The General Fund reported a fund balance this year of approximately \$2.0 million at September 30, 2017. The average months of operating expenditures within the General Fund is 3.8 months. Revenues of approximately \$6.8 million exceeded expenditures by almost \$20 thousand; however, the fund balance decreased by approximately \$105 thousand from the prior fiscal year due to budgeted, supplemental transfers to the Debt Service Fund. Nonetheless, the fund balance decrease was approximately \$305 thousand less than anticipated in the adopted budget.

#### OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the City's basic financial statements. The City's basic financial statements are comprised of three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-wide Financial Statements. The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the City's finances in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The statement of net position presents information on all of the City's assets and liabilities (including those of the Economic Development Corp.), with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the City of Helotes is improving or deteriorating. Changes in net position may also serve as a useful indicator of depreciation in existing capital assets.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the City's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g. uncollected taxes and earned, but unused vacation leave).

Both of the government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the City of Helotes that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are

intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). The governmental activities of the City of Helotes include public safety, public works, and general administration. Property taxes, sales taxes, franchise fees, and charges for services finance most of these activities. The City does not currently have any business-type activities.

Fund Financial Statements. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City of Helotes, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The City has several governmental funds.

Governmental Funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on near term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information is useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

The City of Helotes maintains several individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the General Fund, EDC Fund, Debt Service Fund, Capital Projects Fund, and Total Nonmajor Funds. At year end, fund balances increased by approximately \$(105K), \$74K, \$(58K), \$(1.3 million) and \$227K, respectively.

Notes to the Financial Statements. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements.

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE CITY AS A WHOLE


**Net Position.** The City's Government-wide net position was \$3.3 million at September 30, 2017. (See Table A-1). The City's Government-wide Financial Statements reported a deficit in unrestricted net position of \$1.3 million.

**Table A-1**City of Helotes Net Position (In thousands dollars)

			Total			
	Govern	nmental	Percentage			
	Acti	vities	Change			
	2017	2016	2017-2016			
Assets:						
Current assets	\$ 4,549	\$ 7,231	-37.1%			
Capital Assets	9,683	10,012	-3.3%			
Total Assets	14,232	17,243	-17.5%			
Deferred Outflows	494	551	-10.4%			
Liabilities:						
Current Liabilities	1,789	1,036	72.7%			
Non-Current Liabilities	11,958	12,947	-7.6%			
Total Liabilities	13,747	13,983	-1.7%			
Deferred Inflows	60	39	52.9%			
Net Position:						
Net Investment in Capital Assets	3,394	2,317	46.5%			
Restricted	1,218	1,006	21.1%			
Unrestricted (Deficit)	(1,308)	449	-391.3%			
Total Net Position	\$ 3,304	\$ 3,772	-12.4%			

**Changes in Net Position.** The City's total revenues were \$9.0 million. A significant portion, 75%, of the City's revenue originates from property and sales taxes, while 12% relates to charges for services (See Figure A-3). The City's governmental activity revenues increased 14.5% from the prior year, and were approximately \$1.1 million more than the same revenues collected in FYE 2016.

Figure A-3 City of Helotes Revenue Sources for Fiscal Year 2017



The total cost of all governmental programs and services was \$9.5 million. 39% of this cost is directly related to emergency services. During the year, the City's governmental activity expenses increased 14.5% from the prior year, and were approximately \$469 thousand more than the \$9.0 million generated in taxes and other revenues from governmental activities. The increase in expenditures is primarily related to the expenditure of capital project monies for projects, such as the State Highway 16 water and sewer improvements, where the corresponding capital assets could not be capitalized due to TxDOT and SAWS ownership of those affected roadways and utilities. The increases are related to depreciation recorded on municipal capital assets and expenses related to liabilities due in more than one year, as well.

#### **Governmental Activities**

• Ad Valorem tax rates remained the same as the prior year at 0.3500 per \$100 of valuation; however, property tax revenues increased by \$236 thousand due to an increase in assessed valuations.

Table A-3 presents the cost of each of the City's functions, as well as each function's net cost (total cost less fees generated by the activities). The net cost reflects what revenues funded.

- The cost of all *governmental activities* this year was \$9.5 million.
- The amount of these activities that were paid for by taxpayers through property taxes was \$3.4 million (36%).
- 11% of the cost, or \$1.1 million, was paid by those who directly benefited from the programs and activities through direct charges for services.

Table A-2
Changes in City of Helotes' Net Position
(In thousands dollars)

					Total
			Percentage Change		
		Acti			
		2017		2016	2017-2016
Primary Government:					,
City Council	\$	3	\$	2	50.0%
City Administration		2,062		1,652	24.8%
Dispatch		229		193	18.6%
City Secretary		115		110	5.0%
Human Resources		111		91	21.5%
Municipal Court		265		243	9.0%
Animal Control, Public Works		758		545	39.1%
Development Services		1,342		577	132.6%
Building and Grounds		515		1,036	-50.2%
Police Department		1,793		1,689	6.2%
Emergency Medical Services		191		159	20.4%
Fire Department		1,703		1,568	8.6%
Interest and Fiscal Charges on LT Debt		389		412	-5.6%
Total Governmental Activities		9,476		8,277	14.5%
Program Revenues:					
Charges for Services		1,089		992	9.8%
Operating Grants & Contributions		194		15	1192.4%
General Revenues:					
General Property Tax		3,377		3,141	7.5%
Sales Taxes		3,308		2,208	49.8%
Franchise Taxes		786		758	3.7%
Investment Earnings		40		16	147.9%
Proceeds from Sale of Land		-		520	-100.0%
Miscellaneous		213		215	-0.8%
Total Revenues		9,007		7,865	14.5%
Change in Net Position	\$	(469)	\$	(412)	13.7%

**Table A-3**Net Cost of Selected City Functions
(In thousands dollars)

	Total Cost of							Net Cost of					
	Services						Serv						
	2017 2016 % Change		% Change		2017	2016		% Change					
Governmental							,						
City Council	\$	3	\$	2	50.0%	\$	3	\$	2	54.8%			
City Administration		2,062		1,652	24.8%		2,062		1,652	24.8%			
Dispatch		229		193	100.0%		229		193	18.6%			
City Secretary		114		110	4.1%		114		110	4.1%			
Human Resources		111		91	21.5%		111		91	21.5%			
Municipal Court		265		243	9.0%		(134)		(111)	20.5%			
Animal Control, PW		758		545	39.1%		593		538	10.2%			
Development Services		1,342		577	132.6%		842		166	407.0%			
Building and Grounds		515		1,035	-50.2%		515		1,035	-50.2%			
Police Department		1,793		1,689	6.2%		1,716		1,621	5.9%			
Emergency Medical		191		159	100.0%		50		(7)	-815.0%			
Fire Department		1,703		1,568	8.6%		1,703		1,568	8.6%			

#### **Fund Financial Statements**

The fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the City's most significant funds—not the City as a whole. Funds are accounting devices that the City uses to keep track of specific sources of funding and spending for particular purposes.

- Some funds are required by State Law and by bond covenants.
- The City Council establishes other funds to control and manage money for particular purposes or to show that it is properly using certain taxes and grants.

The City has the following kinds of funds:

• Governmental funds—Most of the City's basic services are included in governmental funds, which focus on (1) how cash and other financial assets, while are readily convertible into cash, flow in and out of the funds and (2) the balances left at year-end that are available for spending in the subsequent year. Consequently, the governmental fund statements provide a detailed, *short-term* view that helps you determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the City's programs. Because this information does not encompass the additional long-term focus of the government-wide statements, we provide additional information at the bottom or on the subsequent page of the governmental funds statements that explain the relationship (or differences) between them.

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE CITY'S FUNDS

Revenues from governmental fund types totaled \$9.0 million, an increase of 22% over the prior year. The increase is primarily due to increases in tax collections from higher assessed property valuations.

#### **General Fund Budgetary Highlights**

In 2017, actual revenues exceeded expenditures by approximately \$20 thousand. The \$647 thousand favorable variance between budgeted and actual tax revenues is primarily due to sales tax revenues originating from economic development agreements between the City, EDC, and third-party businesses. The budget was amended throughout the year, and the City Auditor modified the receipting of economic development agreement sales tax revenues from a revenue line item only (net position) to corresponding revenue and expenditure line items.

Including other 2017 financing sources, the General Fund balance decreased by approximately \$105 thousand from the prior year; however the decrease was approximately \$305 thousand less than anticipated. The average months of operating expenditures decreased from 4.5 to 3.8 months.

#### CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

**Capital Assets**. At the end of 2017, the City had invested \$22.9 million in a broad range of capital assets, including land, buildings, vehicles, equipment, and infrastructure (see Table A-4). This amount, less accumulated depreciation, results in a net capital asset value of \$9.7 million.

Table A-4
City of Helotes's Capital Assets
(In thousands dollars)

Total

					rotar
		Govern	ıl	Percentage	
		Activ	Change		
	2017 2016				2017-2016
Land	\$	1,764	\$	1,764	0.0%
Buildings and Improvements		6,775		6,775	0.0%
Vehicles and Equipment		3,466		3,136	10.5%
Infrastructure		10,876		10,835	0.4%
Totals at historical cost		22,881		22,510	1.6%
Total accumulated depreciation		(13,198)		(12,489)	5.7%
Net Capital Assets	\$	9,683	\$	10,021	-3.4%

**Long Term Debt.** At year-end, the City had \$10.3 million in bonds outstanding, as shown in Table A-5. Total bonded debt decreased approximately 6.5% from \$11.0 million in FYE 2016 to \$10.3 million in FYE 2017. More detailed information about the City's debt is presented in the notes to the financial statements.

**Table A-5**City's Long-Term Debt (In thousands dollars)

		Total				
	Govern	l	Percentage			
	Acti	Change				
	2017 2016			2017-2016		
\$	10,250	\$	10,965	-6.5%		
\$	10,250	\$	10,965	-6.5%		
	\$	Active 2017 \$ 10,250	Activities 2017 \$ 10,250 \$	2017     2016       \$ 10,250     \$ 10,965		

#### ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS AND RATES

The City adopted an ad valorem tax rate of 0.350000 per \$100 valuation for the FYE 2018 budget, which is the same rate per \$100 of valuation adopted in FYE 2017. Commercial development along S.H. 16 (Bandera Rd.) and other municipal arterial roadways continues to expand, including corresponding property and sales tax revenues, building permits, and other fees, such as franchise and license fees. Similarly, single family residential subdivision development within the corporate City limits is increasing with the development of the Bricewood Subdivision, remaining portions of the Iron Horse Canyon Subdivision, and the Trails at Helotes Subdivision. Management's goal is to balance sales and property tax collections and, if warranted, support the annexation of key commercial and residential areas.

Unadjusted General Fund budgeted expenditures increased in the FYE 2018 budget from \$6.27 million in FYE 2017 to \$6.60 million in FYE 2018. This increase is primarily a result of expected increases in Buildings and Grounds, Municipal Court, and Police Department expenditures.

Lastly, unadjusted General Fund budgeted revenues increased in the FYE 2018 budget from \$6.10 million in FYE 2017 to \$6.60 million in FYE 2018. This increase is primarily a result of expected increases in property and sales tax collections.

#### CONTACTING THE CITY'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, investors, and creditors with a general overview of the City's finances and to demonstrate the City's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the City Treasurer at:

12951 Bandera Road (Physical) P.O. Box 507 (Mailing) Helotes, Texas 78023 210.695.8877 210.695.2123 (Fax)



#### BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The basic financial statements include integrated sets of financial statements, as required by the GASB. The statements include:

- Government wide financial statements; and
- Fund financial statements:
  - Governmental funds.

In addition, the notes to the financial statements are included to provide information that is essential to a user's understanding of the basic financial statements.

#### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS STATEMENT OF NET POSITION SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

		Governmental Activities			
ASSETS	·				
Cash and Investments:					
Unrestricted	\$	2,744,594			
Restricted		2,384,767			
Certificates of Deposit		752,588			
Receivables (Net of Allowances					
for Uncollectibles)					
Property Taxes		47,028			
Other Receivables		1,005,983			
Capital Assets:					
Land		1,763,771			
Buildings		6,774,720			
Vehicles & Equipment		3,466,310			
Infrastructure		10,876,307			
Accumulated Depreciation		(13,198,209)			
TOTAL ASSETS		16,617,859			
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Deferred Pension Related Outflows		493,601			
TOTAL DEFERRED OUTFLOWS		<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>			
OF RESOURCES	\$	493,601			

#### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS STATEMENT OF NET POSITION (CONTINUED) SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

	Governmental Activities			
LIABILITIES				
Liabilities:				
Withdrawals in Excess of Deposits	\$ 1,352			
Accounts Payable	649,312			
Accrued Liabilities	341,881			
Accrued Compensated Absences	364,568			
Accrued Interest Payable	67,412			
Unearned Revenue - Lease	107,310			
Settlement Payable - Current Portion	258,281			
Noncurrent Liabilities:				
Due within One Year	838,941			
Due in More than One Year	9,717,815			
Net Pension Liability	740,579			
Settlement Payable - Long Term Portion	660,553			
TOTAL LIABILITIES	13,748,004			
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF				
RESOURCES				
Deferred Pension Related Inflows	59,636			
TOTAL DEFERRED INFLOWS				
OF RESOURCES	59,636			
NET POSITION				
Net Investment in Capital Assets	3,393,539			
Restricted for:				
Debt Service (Deficit)	(51,261)			
Police, Municipal Court and School Crossing	29,911			
PEG Capital Fees	61,001			
Street Maintenance	362,248			
State Forfeiture	9,038			
Economic Development Fund	807,430			
Unrestricted (Deficit)	(1,308,086)			
TOTAL NET POSITION	\$ 3,303,820			



#### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

Functions and Programs	Ex	penses	Program Revenues  Program Revenues  Operating Capital Charges for Grants and Grants and Services Contributions  Contributions					(Ez	et Revenue kpense) and Changes in et Position Primary overnment overnmental Activities	
Primary Government:										
Governmental Activities:										
City Council	\$	3,096	\$	=	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(3,096)
City Administration	2	2,061,818		-		-		-		(2,061,818)
Dispatch		228,958		=		-		-		(228,958)
City Secretary		114,497		-		-		_		(114,497)
Human Resources		110,574		_		_		_		(110,574)
Municipal Court		264,832		398,614		_		_		133,782
Animal Control, Public Works		758,192		5,400		159,797		_		(592,995)
Development Services	1	,342,107		500,477		_		_		(841,630)
Buildings and Grounds		515,472		_		_		_		(515,472)
Police Department	1	,793,494		42,970		34,056		_		(1,716,468)
Emergency Medical Services		191,382		141,331		-		_		(50,051)
Fire Department	1	,703,215		-		_		_		(1,703,215)
Interest and Fiscal Fees on		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								(1,700,=10)
Long-term Debt		387,941		_		_		_		(387,941)
Total Governmental Activities		0,475,578		1,088,792		193,853				(8,192,933)
Total Governmental Activities		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1,000,772		173,033				(0,172,733)
Total Government	\$ 9	9,475,578	\$	1,088,792	\$	193,853	\$	-	\$	(8,192,933)
General Revenues:										
Taxes:										
General Property Taxes										3,377,228
Sales Taxes										3,308,044
Franchise Taxes										785,898
Interest and Investment Earnings										39,661
Miscellaneous										213,362
<b>Total General Revenues</b>										7,724,193
Change in Net Position										(468,740)
Net Position at Beginning of Year										3,772,560
Net Position at End of Year									\$	3,303,820

#### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

	Major Funds					
	Economic Debt			Debt		
		General Development		9	Service	
	Fund		Corporation		Fund	
ASSETS						
Cash and Investments:						
Unrestricted	\$	1,898,519	\$	401,534	\$	116,982
Restricted	4	-	4	-	Ψ	-
Certificates of Deposit		501,706		250,882		_
Receivables:		201,700		250,002		
Property Taxes (Net of Uncollectibles)		37,390		_		9,638
Sales Tax and Other Receivable		682,429		198,595		7,030
Due from Other Funds		110,469		70,267		_
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	3,230,513	\$	921,278	\$	126,620
	Ψ	3,230,313	Ψ	721,270	Ψ	120,020
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF						
RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES						
Liabilities:	Φ		Ф		Ф	
Withdrawals in Excess of Deposits	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Accounts Payable		127,229		-		-
Accrued Liabilities		228,033		113,848		-
Due to Other Funds		613,571		-		110,469
Unearned Revenue - Lease		107,310		<u>-</u>	-	
Total Liabilities		1,076,143		113,848	-	110,469
Deferred Inflows of Resources:						
Unavailable Revenue - Property Taxes		36,621		-		9,440
Unavailable Revenue - EMS		120,971		-		_
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources		157,592		-		9,440
Fund Balances:						
Restricted for:						
Court Technology and Security						
School Crossing		-		-		-
Police Department		-		-		-
PEG Capital Fees		-		-		-
Street Maintenance		-		-		-
State Forfeiture		-		-		-
Economic Development Corporation				807,430		
Debt Service		-		607, <del>4</del> 30		- 6 71 1
		-		-		6,711
Capital Projects		1 006 779		-		-
Unassigned Total Fund Balances		1,996,778		907.420		<u>-</u> 6 71 1
		1,996,778		807,430		6,711
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED						
INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND						
FUND BALANCES	\$	3,230,513	\$	921,278	\$	126,620

Capital		Total		Total		
Projects	N	onmajor	Governmental			
Fund	Funds		Funds			
\$ -	\$	327,559	\$	2,744,594		
2,384,767		-		2,384,767		
-		-		752,588		
-		_		47,028		
-		124,959		1,005,983		
533,299		10,005		724,040		
\$ 2,918,066	\$	462,523	\$	7,659,000		
, ,						
Φ.	Ф	1.252	Ф	1.252		
\$ -	\$	1,352	\$	1,352		
521,758		325		649,312		
-		-		341,881		
-		-		724,040		
		-		107,310		
521,758		1,677		1,823,895		
_		_		46,061		
-		_		120,971		
	-			167,032		
			•	107,032		
-		6,655		6,655		
-		20,910		20,910		
-		2,346		2,346		
-		61,001		61,001		
-		362,248		362,248		
		9,038		9,038		
-		-		807,430		
-		-		6,711		
2,396,308		-		2,396,308		
<u> </u>		(1,352)		1,995,426		
2,396,308		460,846		5,668,073		
\$ 2,918,066	\$	162 523	\$	7 650 000		
\$ 2,918,066	Φ	462,523	<u> </u>	7,659,000		

Major Funds



# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS RECONCILIATION OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS BALANCE SHEET TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

\$	5,668,073
	9,682,899
	167,032
	(364,568)
(	(10,556,756)
	(306,614)
	(918,834)
	(67,412)
\$	3,303,820

# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES – GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

	Major Funds			
	Economic		Debt	
	General	Development	Service	
REVENUES	Fund	Corporation	Fund	
Taxes	\$ 4,579,293	\$ 939,946	\$ 694,343	
Franchise Fees	761,733	ψ <i>)3)</i> , <i>)</i> 10	ψ 071,313 -	
Licenses and Permits	505,877	-	-	
Municipal Court	424,030	-	-	
Fire Department Interlocal Agreement	125,373	-	-	
Grants	159,797	-	-	
Interest	20,769	3,008	182	
Miscellaneous	189,321	-	-	
TOTAL REVENUES	6,766,193	942,954	694,525	
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
City Council	3,096	-	-	
City Administration	1,263,180	395,846	-	
Dispatch Services	558,492 129,969	-	-	
City Secretary Human Resources	110,121	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	
Municipal Court	253,885	_		
Animal Control, Public Works	258,112	_	_	
Development Services	144,288	_	_	
Building and Grounds	376,584	-	-	
Police Department	1,503,817	-	-	
Emergency Medical Services	447,542	-	-	
Fire Department	1,232,511	-	-	
Capital Outlay	383,522	-	-	
Debt Service:	72.102		765,000	
Principal	73,192	-	765,000	
Interest and Fiscal Charges Settlement Expense	8,269	-	391,460 301,108	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	6,746,580	395,846	1,457,568	
		,-	9 - 19	
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	19,613	547,108	(763,043)	
•	17,015	347,100	(703,043)	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):	105 772			
Capital Lease Proceeds	105,772	(472.520)	705 226	
Transfers In (Out) TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	(230,250) (124,478)	(473,539) (473,539)	705,326 705,326	
Net Change in Fund Balance	(104,865)	73,569	(57,717)	
FUND BALANCES - OCTOBER 1	2,101,643	733,861	64,428	
FUND BALANCES - SEPTEMBER 30	\$ 1,996,778	\$ 807,430	\$ 6,711	

Major Funds Capital Projects Fund	Total Nonmajor Funds	Total Governmental Funds		
\$ -	\$ 465,860	\$ 6,679,442		
_	24,165	785,898		
-		505,877		
-	15,910	439,940		
-	-	125,373		
-	-	159,797		
14,534	1,164	39,657		
22,241	37,498	249,060		
36,775	544,597	8,985,044		
-	-	3,096		
-	-	1,659,026		
-	-	558,492		
-	-	129,969		
-	-	110,121		
-	8,505	262,390		
-	-	258,112		
-	259,242	403,530		
-	49.707	376,584		
-	48,707	1,552,524		
-	-	447,542 1,232,511		
1,291,807	<u>-</u>	1,675,329		
1,271,007	_	1,075,527		
_	_	838,192		
_	_	399,729		
	<u> </u>	301,108		
1,291,807	316,454	10,208,255		
(1,255,032)	228,143	(1,223,211)		
-	-	105,772		
(429)				
(429)	(1,108)	105,772		
(1,255,461)	227,035	(1,117,439)		
3,651,769	233,811	6,785,512		
\$ 2,396,308	\$ 460,846	\$ 5,668,073		

# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS	\$ (1,117,439)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:	
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which depreciation exceeded capital outlay.	(328,632)
Revenues in the Statement of Activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the governmental funds.	21,787
The issuance of long-term debt (e.g. bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, which the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of the governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any affect on net position. This amount is the net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items.	
Principal Repayments on Bonds, Leases, & Note Payable Proceeds from Capital Lease	838,192 (105,772)
Governmental funds report required contributions to employee pensions as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities the cost of the pension is recorded based on the actuarially determined cost of the plan. This is the amount that contributions exceeded the actuarially determined pension expense.	(87,552)
Some expenses reported in the Statement of Activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.	
Settlements	301,108
Compensated Absences	(2,223)
Interest Expense/ Amortization of Premium	 11,791

CHANGE IN NET POSITION - GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

\$ (468,740)

#### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

#### NOTE A -- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of the City have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to government units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant of the City's accounting policies are described below:

#### 1. REPORTING ENTITY

#### Component Units

As required by generally accepted accounting principles, these financial statements present the government and its component units, entities for which the government is considered to be financially accountable. Blended component units, although legally separate entities, are, in substance, part of the government's operations; thus, data from these units are combined with data of the primary government. Each discretely presented component unit, on the other hand, is reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize it is legally separate from the government.

#### Blended Component Unit

The Helotes Economic Development Corporation, a nonprofit corporation, was incorporated under the Development Corporation Act of 1979, Texas Revised Civil Statues Annotated, Article 5190.6, Section 4B. The Corporation is organized exclusively for public purposes of the City of Helotes, and the City Council appoints directors of the Corporation. It receives all proceeds from assessing a .50% sales tax. For financial reporting purposes, the Corporation is reported as if it were part of the City's operations because its purpose is to benefit the citizens of the City. Separate financial statements for the Corporation may be obtained by contacting the City's Finance Department.

#### Discretely Presented Component Units

As of September 30, 2017, the City had no component units that would require a discrete presentation in the financial statements.

#### 2. GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The **government-wide financial statements** include the statement of net position and the statement of activities. Government-wide statements report information on all of the activities of the City and its component units. The effect of interfund transfers has been removed from the government-wide statements, but continues to be reflected on the fund statements. Governmental activities are supported mainly by taxes and intergovernmental revenues. The primary government is reported within the government wide statements. Business-type activities are financed, in whole or in part, by fees charged to external parties for goods and services. The City has no business-type activities or fiduciary funds.

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

#### **NOTE A -- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

#### 2. GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The statement of activities reflects the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included in program revenues are reported as general revenues.

Separate **fund financial statements** are provided for governmental funds and proprietary funds. The General Fund, Debt Service Fund, Economic Development Corporation, and Capital Projects Fund meet the criteria as *major governmental funds*. All of these funds are reflected in single columns on the Fund Balance Sheet and Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances.

### 3. MEASUREMENT FOCUS, BASIS OF ACCOUNTING, AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

The **government-wide financial statements** are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year which they are levied. Major revenue types, which have been accrued, include revenue from investments, intergovernmental revenue and charges for services. Grants are recognized as revenue when all applicable eligibility requirements imposed by the provider are met.

Revenues are classified as *program revenues* and *general revenues*. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants for goods, services, or privileges provided, 2) operating grants and contributions, and 3) capital grants and contributions. General revenues include all taxes, grants not restricted to specific programs, and investment earnings.

Governmental fund level financial statements are reported using a current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Measurable and available revenues include revenues expected to be received within 60 days after the fiscal year ends. Receivables which are measurable but not collectible within 60 days after the end of the fiscal period are reported as unavailable revenue.

Expenditures, generally, are recorded when a fund liability is incurred; however, debt service expenditures and expenditures related to compensated absences, claims, and judgments are recorded only when the liability has matured and payment is due.

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS NOTES TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

#### **NOTE A -- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

3. MEASUREMENT FOCUS, BASIS OF ACCOUNTING, AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION (Continued)

The government reports the following major governmental funds:

The General Fund is the general operating fund of the City and is always classified as a major fund. The General Fund is used to account for all financial resources, except those required to be accounted for in another fund. Major revenue sources include property taxes, charges for services, intergovernmental revenues, and investment of idle funds. Primary expenditures are for general administration, public safety, and capital acquisition.

<u>Economic Development Corporation</u> is used to account for sales and use tax proceeds collected and expenditures associated with promoting economic development in the City.

**<u>Debt Service Fund</u>** is used to account for resources and expenditures related to principal and interest payments on outstanding debt.

<u>Capital Projects Fund</u> is used to account for resources and expenditures related to capital projects financed through debt instruments issued during the current or prior years.

The City has several nonmajor governmental funds for various special revenues including Court Technology and Security, Federal and State Forfeiture, School Safety, Police Training, PEG Fees, and Street Maintenance.

#### 4. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investments include cash deposits and investments with a maturity date within three (3) months of the date acquired by the City, including local government investments pools.

The City reports investments at fair value based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; and Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs.

#### 5. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Property taxes are levied based on taxable assessed values on January 1, prior to September 30, and become due October 1 of the same year and past due on January 31 of the following year. Accordingly, receivables and revenues for property taxes are reflected on the government-wide statement based on the full accrual method of accounting. Property tax receivables for prior years' levies are shown net of an allowance for uncollectible accounts.

### **NOTE A -- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

### 5. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE (Continued)

Reimbursements for services performed are recorded as receivables and revenues when they are earned in the government-wide statements. Included are fines and costs assessed by the Court and billable services for certain contracts. Revenues received in advance of the costs being incurred are recorded as unavailable revenue in the fund statements. Receivables are shown net of an allowance for uncollectibles.

### 6. INTERFUND RECEIVABLES/PAYABLES

During the course of operations, numerous transactions occur between individual funds for goods provided or services rendered. These receivables and payables are classified as "due from other funds" or "due to other funds" on the fund statements.

### 7. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital assets, which include land, buildings, vehicles, equipment, capital leases, and infrastructure assets, are reported in the applicable governmental activities column in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets, such as equipment, are defined as assets with a cost of \$5,000 or more. Infrastructure assets include City-owned streets, sidewalks, curbs, and bridges. Capital assets are recorded at historical costs, if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized. Interest has not been capitalized during the construction period on property and equipment.

Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Assets	Years
Buildings	20 to 40 years
Vehicles and Equipment	5 to 25 years
Infrastructure	20 years
Capital Leases	10 years

### 8. COMPENSATED ABSENCES

The City permits employees to accumulate earned, yet unused paid time off up to the amount defined in the Personnel Policy. The City no longer offers sick leave.

Liabilities for compensated absences are recognized in the fund statements to the extent the liabilities have matured (i.e. are due for payment). Compensated absences are accrued in the government-wide statements.

### NOTE A -- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

### 9. DEFERRED INFLOWS AND OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This represents a consumption of net position that applies to future period(s) and, consequently, will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. The City currently has pension-related deferred outflows of resources.

Deferred inflows of resources represent an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period and, consequently, will not be recognized as an inflow of resource (revenue) until that time. Unavailable revenue is reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet under a modified accrual basis of accounting. Unavailable revenues from property tax are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period the amounts become available. The City also has pension-related deferred inflows.

#### 10 UNEARNED REVENUE

Unearned revenues arise when assets are recognized before revenue recognition criteria have been satisfied.

### 11. SETTTLEMENT PAYABLE

In the government-wide financial statements, Settlement Payable represents the total claim to be paid to multiple homebuilders following a settlement on the issuance and payment of building permits.

### 12. LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities under governmental activities. On new bond issues, bond premiums and discounts are amortized over the life of the bond.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources, while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

### 13. PENSIONS

The net pension (asset) liability, deferred inflows and outflows of resources related to pensions, pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS), and additions to and deductions from TMRS's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by TMRS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable, in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

### **NOTE A -- SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

#### 14. FUND BALANCE

Fund balances in governmental funds are classified as follows:

Nonspendable - Represents amounts that cannot be spent because they are either not in spendable form (such as inventory or prepaid items) or legally required to remain intact.

Restricted - Represents amounts that are constrained by external parties, constitutional provisions, or enabling legislation.

Committed - Represents amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority. The governing council is the highest level of decision-making authority for the government that can, by adoption of an ordinance prior to the end of the fiscal year, commit fund balances. Once adopted, the limitation imposed by the ordinance remains in place until a similar action is taken (the adoption of another ordinance) to remove or revise the limitation.

Assigned - Represents amounts which the City intends to use for a specific purpose, but do not meet the criteria of restricted or committed funds. The City Council may make assignments, and it has chosen not to delegate that authority to any other individuals.

Unassigned - Represents the residual balance that may be spent on any other purpose of the City.

As of September 30, 2017, the Municipal Court Technology Fund had a deficit restricted fund balance which reduced the amount of funds available in the unassigned fund balance for total governmental funds by \$1,352. The City will reduce spending of this Fund until the fund balance is no longer at a deficit.

When an expenditure is incurred for a purpose in which multiple classifications are available, the City wishes restricted balances to be spent first, committed second, and assigned third.

### 15. NET POSITION

Net position represents the difference between assets, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources, and liabilities. Net investment in capital assets consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowing used for the acquisition, construction or improvements of those assets, and adding back unspent proceeds. Net position is reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on their use, either through the enabling legislation adopted by the City or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, or laws or regulations of other governments.

### 16. USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### **NOTE B -- CASH AND CASH INVESTMENTS**

### 1. Cash

At September 30, 2017, the carrying amount of the primary government's (including the blended component unit) cash on hand was \$1,650; the carrying amount of deposits was \$685,831; and the bank balance was \$1,607,043. Of the bank balance, \$250,000 was covered by Federal Deposit Insurance, and the City's depository had pledged securities having a face value of \$5,986,000 and a market value of \$5,944,162 as collateral for the City's deposits. All of the City's cash was fully collateralized. The Economic Development Corporation also had funds at other financial institutions. The carrying amount of deposits was \$219,335, and the bank balance was \$332,978. Of the bank balance, \$250,000 was covered by Federal Deposit Insurance, and the remaining was covered by the City of Helotes' collateral support.

### 2. Investments

The City is required by Government Code Chapter 2256, The Public Funds Investment Act, to adopt, implement, and publicize an investment policy. That policy must address the following areas: (1) safety of principal and liquidity, (2) portfolio diversification, (3) allowable investments, (4) acceptable risk levels, (5) expected rates of return, (6) maximum allowable stated maturity of portfolio investments, (7) maximum average dollar-weighted maturity allowed based on the stated maturity date for the portfolio, (8) investment staff quality and capabilities, and (9) bid solicitation preferences for certificates of deposit.

The Public Funds Investment Act ("Act") requires an annual audit of investment practices. Audit procedures in this area conducted as a part of the audit of the basic financial statements disclosed that, in the areas of investment practices, management reports, and establishment of appropriate policies, the City has adhered to the requirements of the Act.

The Act determines the types of investments which are allowable for the City. These include, with certain restrictions, 1) obligations of the U.S. Treasury, U.S. Agencies, and the State of Texas, 2) certificates of deposit, 3) certain municipal securities, 4) securities lending program, 5) repurchase agreements, 6) bankers acceptances, 7) mutual funds, 8) investment pools, 9) guaranteed investment contracts, and 10) commercial paper.

The City's investments at September 30, 2017 were as follows:

	Rep	orted Value	F	air Value	Weighted Average Maturity (Days)
Investment Pools:					
TexPool	\$	1,931,941	\$	1,931,941	56
LOGIC		2,509,939		2,509,939	79
Certificates of Deposit		752,588		752,588	45
Total Investments	\$	5,194,468	\$	5,194,468	

The Certificate of Deposits were fully collateralized. All investments are valued using prices quoted in active markets (Level 1 inputs).

### **NOTE B -- CASH AND CASH INVESTMENTS (Continued)**

### 3. Analysis of Specific Deposit and Investment Risks

GASB Statement No. 40 requires a determination as to whether the City was exposed to the following specific investment risks at year end, and, if so, the reporting of certain related disclosures is required:

#### a. Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counter-party to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The ratings of securities by nationally-recognized rating agencies are designed to give an indication of credit risk. At year end, the City was not significantly exposed to credit risk.

### b. Custodial Credit Risk

Deposits are exposed to custodial credit risk if they are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent, but not in the City's name.

Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if the securities are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the government, and are held by either the counterparty or the counterparty's trust department or agent, but not in the City's name.

At year end, the City was not exposed to custodial credit risk.

#### c. Concentration of Credit Risk

This risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. At year end, the City was not exposed to concentration of credit risk.

### d. Interest Rate Risk

This is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair market value of an investment. At year-end, the City was not exposed to interest rate risk.

### e. Foreign Currency Risk

This is the risk that exchange rates will adversely affect the fair market value of an investment. At year end, the City was not exposed to foreign currency risk.

### **NOTE B -- CASH AND CASH INVESTMENTS (Continued)**

### 4. <u>Investment Accounting Policy</u>

The City's general policy is to report money market investments and short-term participating interest-earning investment contracts at amortized cost and to report nonparticipating interest-earning investment contracts using a cost-based measure. However, if the fair market value of an investment is significantly affected by the impairment of the credit standing of the issuer or by other factors, it is reported at fair market value. All other investments are reported at fair market value, unless a legal contract exists which guarantees a higher value. The term "short-term" refers to investments which have a remaining term of one year or less at time of purchase. The term "nonparticipating" means that the investment's value does not vary with market interest rate changes. Nonnegotiable certificates of deposit are examples of nonparticipating interest-earning investment contracts.

### 5. Public Funds Investment Pools

Public funds investment pools in Texas ("Pools") are established under the authority of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 791 of the Texas Government Code, and are subject to the provisions of the Public Funds Investment Act (the "Act"), Chapter 2256 of the Texas Government Code. In addition to other provisions of the Act designed to promote liquidity and safety of principal, the Act requires Pools to: 1) have an advisory board composed of participants in the pool and other persons who do not have a business relationship with the pool and are qualified to advise the pool; 2) maintain a continuous rating of no lower than AAA or AAA-m or an equivalent rating by at least one nationally-recognized rating service; and 3) maintain the market value of its underlying investment portfolio within one half of one percent of the value of its shares.

### NOTE C -- PROPERTY TAX CALENDAR

Taxes were levied on and payable as of October 1. The City has contracted with the Bexar County Tax Assessor-Collector to collect taxes on its behalf. Current year taxes become delinquent February 1. Current year delinquent taxes not paid by July 1 are turned over to attorneys for collection action.

For fiscal year 2017, the assessed tax rate for the City was \$0.350000 per \$100 on an assessed valuation of \$799,874,837, which was less applicable freeze adjustments. The tax rate is split \$0.278268 for general maintenance and operations and \$0.071732 for interest and sinking. The total tax levy for fiscal year 2017 was \$3,379,319. As of September 30, 2017, the delinquent taxes were \$50,567, with an allowance for estimated uncollectible accounts recorded as \$3,539. The freeze adjusted taxable value was 80.5% of the net taxable value of \$992,599,415.

### **NOTE C -- PROPERTY TAX CALENDAR (Continued)**

The City is permitted by the Constitution of the State of Texas to levy taxes up to \$2.50 per \$100 of taxable assessed valuation for all governmental purposes. Pursuant to a decision of the Attorney General of the State of Texas up to \$1.50 per \$100 of assessed valuation may be used for the payment of long-term debt. The combined tax rate to finance general governmental services, including the payment of principal and interest on long-term debt, for the year ended September 30, 2017 was \$.350000 per \$100 of assessed value. Consequently, the City has a tax margin of \$2.150000 for each \$100 of assessed value and could increase its annual tax levy by approximately \$17,197,309 based upon the present freeze adjusted value of \$799,874,837, before the limit is reached. The City may not, however, adopt a tax rate that exceeds the tax rate calculated in accordance with the Texas Property Tax Code without holding two public hearings. The Property Tax Code subjects an increase in the proposed tax rate to a referendum election, if petitioned by registered voters, when the effective tax rate increase is more than eight percent (8%) of the previous year's maintenance and operations tax rate.

### **NOTE D -- RECEIVABLES**

The following is a summary of the gross property taxes and charges for services receivable and the related allowances for uncollectible amounts:

		amount ceivable	Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts		Net Receivable	
Taxes:	<u></u>	_				
General Fund	\$	40,204	\$	2,814	\$	37,390
Debt Service Fund		10,363		725_		9,638
Total Tax Receivables	\$	50,567	\$	3,539	\$	47,028

Other receivables, as of September 30, 2017, for the City's individual major funds are as follows:

	 General	EDC	N	onmajor
Sales Taxes	\$ 402,497	\$ 198,595	\$	98,260
Franchise Fees	138,732	-		5,826
EMS Services	268,056	-		-
Allowance for EMS Services	(147,084)	-		-
Other	 20,228			20,873
Total Other Receivables	\$ 682,429	\$ 198,595	\$	124,959

### NOTE E -- INTERFUND BALANCES

Interfund balances represent reimbursements for expenditures paid or cash received on behalf of other funds and are expected to be liquidated in the next fiscal year. Interfund balances between City funds at September 30, 2017 consist of the following:

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	 Amount	Reason/Intent
General Fund	Debt Service Fund	\$ 110,469	Reimbursment of lease payments
EDC	General Fund	70,267	Reimbursement of expenses
Capital Projects Fund	General Fund	533,299	Reimbursement of expenses
Nonmajor Funds	General Fund	10,005	PEG Fees
Total Interfund Balances		\$ 724,040	

### **NOTE F -- TRANSFERS**

Transfers during the year ended September 30, 2017 were as follows:

Transfer Out	Transfer In	Amount	Purpose
General Fund	Debt Service Fund	\$ 234,307	Settlement payments
General Fund	Nonmajor Funds	7,480	Supplemental funding
EDC	General Fund	2,520	Supplemental funding
EDC	Debt Service Fund	471,019	Pledged Revenue
Capital Projects Fund	General Fund	429	Supplemental funding
Nonmajor Funds	General Fund	8,500	Radios and baliffs
Nonmajor Funds	General Fund	88	Supplemental funding
Total Governmental Funds Tra	nsfers	\$ 724,343	

### NOTE G -- UNEARNED REVENUE - LEASE REVENUE

The City is leasing land to Cingular Wireless for a period of 30 years for a cell phone tower. This agreement runs from September 1, 2005 through August 31, 2035. The first five years of the lease were prepaid and the remaining term is in annual installments.

The City is also leasing a parking lot to Northside Independent School District (NISD) for a term of 50 years. The entire lease was paid in advance and is being recognized over the term of the lease. The City may cancel the lease with written notice, but would be required to refund the pro-rata portion of unearned lease revenue. The balance of unrecognized parking lease revenue is shown as unearned revenue on the Balance Sheet and the Statement of Net Position.

	Minimum Future Lease Revenue				
Fiscal Year Ending	Tower	Parking	_		
September 30,	Lease	Lease	Total		
2018	\$ 17,109	\$ 2,533	\$ 19,642		
2019	17,622	2,533	20,155		
2020	18,151	2,533	20,684		
2021	18,696	2,533	21,229		
2022	19,256	2,533	21,789		
2023-2027	105,302	12,665	117,967		
2028-2032	122,074	12,665	134,739		
2033-2037	82,390	12,665	95,055		
2038-2042	-	12,665	12,665		
2043-2047	-	12,665	12,665		
2048-2052	-	12,665	12,665		
2053-2057	-	12,665	12,665		
2058-2060		5,990	5,990		
	\$ 400,600	\$ 107,310	\$ 507,910		

### **NOTE H -- PLEDGED REVENUE**

The Helotes Economic Development Corporation has pledged to reimburse the City for the debt service requirements of \$4,000,000 for the 2007 Series Certificates of Obligation and \$937,480 for the 2015 Certificates of Obligation through an interlocal agreement. Subject to the Corporation's availability of funds, the remaining pledged revenues are as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending					
September 30,	Se	eries 2007	Se	eries 2015	 Total
2018	\$	292,552	\$	194,318	\$ 486,870
2019		294,495		24,519	319,014
2020		296,046		24,214	320,260
2021		297,203		24,466	321,669
2022		297,968		24,144	322,112
2023 - 2027		1,492,452		118,652	1,611,104
2028 - 2032		-		280,357	280,357
2033 - 2035		-		97,140	97,140
	\$	2,970,716	\$	787,810	\$ 3,758,526

### **NOTE I -- CAPITAL ASSETS**

Capital asset activity for the year ended September 30, 2017 was as follows:

Governmental Activities	Balance 10/1/2016	Additions	Disposals/ Transfers	Balance 9/30/2017
T 1	e 1.762.771	¢.	¢.	e 1.762.771
Land	\$ 1,763,771	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,763,771
Buildings	6,774,720	-	-	6,774,720
Vehicles & Equipment	3,135,929	330,381	-	3,466,310
Infrastructure	10,835,178	41,129		10,876,307
	22,509,598	371,510		22,881,108
Less Accumulated Depreciation				
Buildings	(1,852,063)	(222,300)	-	(2,074,363)
Vehicles & Equipment	(2,227,586)	(261,130)	-	(2,488,716)
Infrastructure	(8,418,418)	(216,712)		(8,635,130)
	(12,498,067)	(700,142)		(13,198,209)
Governmental Capital Assets, Net	\$ 10,011,531	\$ (328,632)	\$ -	\$ 9,682,899

Land is not depreciated.

### **NOTE I -- CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)**

Depreciation expense was charged to the governmental functions, as follows:

City Administration	\$ 46,364
Animal Control, Public Works	226,873
Building and Grounds	63,011
Police Department	183,830
Fire Department	 180,064
Total Depreciation Expense - Governmental Activities	\$ 700,142

### **NOTE J -- LONG-TERM DEBT**

Long-term debt and obligations payable at September 30, 2017 comprise the following individual issues:

Certificates of Obligation:

\$10,000,000 2007 Combination Tax and Limited-Pledge Revenue Certificates of Obligation due in annual installments through 2027; interest at 3.93%. The EDC has pledged to cover a portion of this debt (see note H).

\$ 6,115,000

\$4,315,000 2015 Combination Tax and Limited-Pledge Revenue Certificates of Obligation due in annual installments through 2035; interest at 3.00%. The EDC has pledged to cover a portion of this debt (see note H).

4,135,000

### **Total Governmental Long-Term Obligations**

\$ 10,250,000

The City entered into a loan agreement with Lynn Steinhiser and Cynthia Warner in October 2013 to borrow the sum of \$200,000 for the purchase of real property. The loan is due and payable with four annual payments of \$50,000 at zero percent interest. The loan was paid in full as of September 30, 2017.

### Changes in Long-Term Liabilities

	Balance 10/1/2016	Additions	Reductions	Balance 9/30/2017	Due Within One Year
Governmental Activities					
Series 2002	\$ 140,000	\$ -	\$ (140,000)	\$ -	\$ -
Series 2007	6,600,000	-	(485,000)	6,115,000	500,000
Series 2015	4,225,000	-	(90,000)	4,135,000	260,000
Premium on Series 2015	139,751		(7,355)	132,396	7,355
Total Bonded Debt	11,104,751		(722,355)	10,382,396	767,355
Capital Lease	141,780	105,772	(73,192)	174,360	71,586
Note Payable	50,000		(50,000)		
Total Governmental					
Activities	\$11,296,531	\$ 105,772	\$ (845,547)	\$ 10,556,756	\$ 838,941

### **NOTE J -- LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)**

The general long-term debt bonds mature serially through 2035 as follows:

Year Ending			
September 30,	Principal	Principal Interest	
2018	\$ 760,000	\$ 362,782	\$ 1,122,782
2019	615,000	337,391	952,391
2020	640,000	313,567	953,567
2021	665,000	288,784	953,784
2022	690,000	263,042	953,042
2023 - 2027	3,880,000	888,057	4,768,057
2028 - 2032	2,185,000	296,622	2,481,622
2033 - 2035	815,000	44,860_	859,860
	\$ 10,250,000	\$ 2,795,105	\$ 13,045,105
Average Annual Debt	Service Requiren	nent	\$ 724,728

The City leased a new vehicle in October 2015, five new vehicles in April 2016 and three new vehicles in May 2017. The leases have an effective interest rate between 5.7% and 6.45% and a bargain purchase options of \$1 upon maturity. Future minimum lease payments are as follows:

Year Ending	Lease		
September 30,	Payments		
2018	\$	81,461	
2019		81,461	
2020		28,583	
		191,505	
Less: Interest Amount		(17,145)	
	\$	174,360	

The following is an analysis of the property under capital lease as of September 30, 2017:

Transportation & Equipment	\$ 299,339
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	 (67,911)
Net Leased Property	\$ 231,428

### NOTE K -- SETTLEMENT PAYABLE

The City settled lawsuits in favor of multiple developers regarding building permits. The total claim to be paid has been accrued, as shown on the Statement of Net Position as a Settlement Payable. The payment schedule for the Settlement Payable over the next four years is as follows:

Year Ending		
September 30,	F	Principal
2018	\$	258,281
2019		258,281
2020		201,136
2021		201,136
Total Judgement Payable		\$918,834

### **NOTE L -- EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEMS**

Texas Municipal Retirement System

### 1. Plan Description

The City participates, as one of 872 plans, in the nontraditional, joint-contributory, hybrid-defined benefit pension plan administered by the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS). TMRS is an agency created by the State of Texas and administered in accordance with the TMRS Act, Subtitle G, Title 8, Texas Government Code (the TMRS Act) as an agent multiple-employer retirement system for municipal employees in the State of Texas. The TMRS Act places the general administration and management of the system with a six-member Board of Trustees. Although the Governor, with the advice and consent of the Senate, appoints the Board, TMRS is not fiscally-dependent on the State of Texas. TMRS' defined benefit pension plan is a tax-qualified plan under Section 401(A) of the Internal Revenue Code. TMRS issues a publicly-available comprehensive annual financial report (CAFR) that can be obtained at www.tmrs.com.

All eligible employees of the City are required to participate in the TMRS retirement system.

### 2. Benefits Provided

TMRS provides retirement, disability, and death benefits. Benefit provisions are adopted by the governing body of the City within the options available in the State statutes governing TMRS.

At retirement, the benefit is calculated as if the sum of the employee's contributions, with interest, and the City-financed monetary credits, with interest, were used to purchase an annuity. Members may choose to receive their retirement benefit in one of seven payment options. Members may also choose to receive a portion of their benefit as a Partial Lump Sum Distribution in an amount equal to 12, 24, or 36 monthly payments, which cannot exceed 75% of the member's deposits and interest.

### NOTE L -- EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEMS (Continued)

### 2. Benefits Provided (Continued)

At the December 31, 2016 valuation and measurement date, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

	2016
Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	11
Inactive employees entitled to, but not yet receiving, benefits	27
Active employees	62
	100

### 3. Contributions

The contribution rates for employees in TMRS are either 5%, 6%, or 7% of employee gross earnings, and the City matching percentages are either 100%, 150%, or 200%, both as adopted by the governing body of the City. Under the State Law governing TMRS, the contribution rate for each city is determined annually by the actuary, using the Entry Age Normal (EAN) actuarial cost method. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the cost of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability.

For the year ending September 30, 2017, employees for the City were required to contribute 6% of their annual gross earnings during the fiscal year. The contribution rates for the City were 6.09% and 6.85% in calendar years 2016 and 2017, respectively. The City's contributions to TMRS for the year ended September 30, 2017 were \$224,662, and were equal to the required contributions.

### 4. Net Pension Liability

The City's Net Pension Liability (NPL) or Asset was measured as of December 31, 2016, and the Total Pension Liability (TPL) used to calculate the Net Pension Liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date.

### 5. Actuarial Assumptions

The Total Pension Liability in the December 31, 2016 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Inflation 2.50% per year Salary Increases 3.00% per year

Investment Rate of Return\* 6.75%

.

<sup>\*</sup>Presented net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation.

### **NOTE L -- EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEMS (Continued)**

### 5. Actuarial Assumptions (Continued)

Salary increases were based on a service-related table. Mortality rates for active members, retirees, and beneficiaries were based on the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Health Mortality Table, with male rates multiplied by 109% and female rates multiplied by 103%. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements. For disabled annuitants, the gender-distinct RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Tables with Blue Collar Adjustment are used with male rates multiplied by 109% and female rates multiplied by 103%, with a 3-year set-forward for both males and females. In addition, a 3% minimum mortality rate is applied to reflect the impairment of younger members who become disabled. The rates are projected on a fully generational basis by scale BB to account for future mortality improvements subject to the 3% floor.

Actuarial assumptions used in the December 31, 2016 valuation were based on the results of actuarial experience studies. The experience study in TMRS was for the period December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2014. Healthy post-retirement mortality rates and annuity purchase rates were updated based on a Mortality Experience Investigation Study covering 2009 through 2011 and dated December 31, 2013. These assumptions were first used in the December 31, 2013 valuation, along with a change to the Entry Age Normal (EAN) actuarial cost method. Assumptions are reviewed annually. Plan assets are managed on a total return basis, with an emphasis on both capital appreciation and the production of income, in order to satisfy the short-term and long-term funding needs of TMRS

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which the best estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding the expected inflation.

In determining their best estimate of a recommended investment return assumption under the various alternative asset allocation portfolios, GRS focused on the area between (1) arithmetic mean (aggressive) without an adjustment for time (conservative) and (2) the geometric mean (conservative) with an adjustment for time (aggressive). The target allocation and best estimates of real rates of return for each major asset class in fiscal year 2017 are summarized in the following table:

Long-Term

		Long-161111
		Expected Real
		Rate of Return
Asset Class	Target Allocation	(Arithmetic)
Domestic Equity	17.50%	4.55%
International Equity	17.50%	6.35%
Core Fixed Income	10.00%	1.00%
Non-Core Fixed Income	20.00%	4.15%
Real Return	10.00%	4.15%
Real Estate	10.00%	4.75%
Absolute Return	10.00%	4.00%
Private Equity	5.00%	7.75%
	100.00%	

### **NOTE L -- EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEMS (Continued)**

### 6. Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the Total Pension Liability was 6.75%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee and employer contributions will be made at the rates specified in statute. Based on that assumption, the pension plan's Fiduciary Net Position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the Total Pension Liability.

### 7. Discount Rate Sensitivity Analysis

The following presents the net pension liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate of 6.75%, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower (5.75%) or 1-percentage point higher (7.75%) than the current rate:

	Dis	scount Rate	Disc	count Rate	Disc	count Rate
		5.75%		6.75%		7.75%
Net Pension Liability (Asset)	\$	1,648,849	\$	740,579	\$	(1,795)

### 8. Changes in Net Pension Liability

The below schedule presents the changes in the Net Pension Liability (Asset) as of December 31, 2016:

	<b>Total Pension</b>		Plan Fiduciary		Net Pension	
		Liability	N	Net Position		oility (Asset)
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$	5,168,937	\$	4,437,400	\$	731,537
Changes for the year:						
Service Cost		359,315		-		359,315
Interest		359,177		-		359,177
Changes of Benefit Terms		_		-		-
Difference Between Expected and						
Actual Experience		(30,699)		-		(30,699)
Changes of Assumptions		_		-		_
Contributions - Employer		_		192,822		(192,822)
Contributions - Employee		-		189,946		(189,946)
Net Investment Income		_		299,552		(299,552)
Benefit Payments, Including Refunds						
of Employee Contributions		(54,911)		(54,911)		-
Administrative Expense		_		(3,387)		3,387
Other Changes		_		(182)		182
Net Changes		632,882		623,840		9,042
-						
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$	5,801,819	\$	5,061,240	\$	740,579

### **NOTE L -- EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEMS (Continued)**

### 9. Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position

Detailed information about the pension plan's Fiduciary Net Position is available in a separately-issued TMRS financial report. That report may be obtained at <a href="https://www.tmrs.com">www.tmrs.com</a>.

### 10. Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

For the year ended September 30, 2017, the City recognized a pension expense of \$312,214. Also, as of September 30, 2017, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Γ	eferred	D	eferred
	Οι	Outflows of		flows of
	Resources		Re	esources
Differences between Expected and		_		
Actual Economic Experience	\$	-	\$	59,636
Changes in Actuarial Assumptions		135,845		-
Differences between Projected and				
Actual Investment Earnings		189,287		-
Contributions Subsequent to the				
Measurement Date		168,469		-
	\$	493,601	\$	59,636

Deferred outflows of resources in the amount of \$168,469 is related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date, and will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability for the year ending September 30, 2018. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

For the Year ended December 31,	
2017	\$ 79,624
2018	79,625
2019	70,158
2020	13,368
2021	13,372
Thereafter	 9,349
	\$ 265,496

### **NOTE M -- OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT**

The City also participates in the cost sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit group-term life insurance plan operated by the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS) and known as the Supplemental Death Benefits Fund (SDBF). The City elected, by ordinance, to provide group-term life insurance coverage to both current and retired employees. The City may terminate coverage under and discontinue participation in the SDBF by adopting an ordinance before November 1 of any year, to be effective the following January 1.

### **NOTE M -- OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (Continued)**

The death benefit for active employees provides a lump-sum payment approximately equal to the employee's annual salary (calculated based on the employee's annual earnings for the 12-month period preceding the month of death). Retired employees are insured for \$7,500; this coverage is an "other postemployment benefit," or OPEB.

The City contributes to the SDBF at a contractually-required rate, as determined by an annual actuarial valuation. The rate is equal to the cost of providing one-year term life insurance. The funding policy for the SDBF program is to ensure that adequate resources are available to meet all death benefit payments for the upcoming year. The intent is not to pre-fund retiree term life insurance during employees' entire careers.

The City's contributions to the TMRS SDBF for the years ended September 30, 2017, 2016 and 2015 were \$4,059, \$3,760, and \$3,565, respectively, and were equal to the required contributions each year.

### **NOTE N -- RISK MANAGEMENT**

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; business interruption; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; employee health benefits; and other claims of various natures. The City contracts with the Texas Municipal League (TML) to provide insurance coverage for property, casualty, and workers compensation. TML is a multi-employer group that provides for a combination of risk sharing among pool participants and stop loss coverage. Contributions are set annually by the provider. Liability by the City is generally limited to the contributed amounts. Annual contributions for the year ended September 30, 2017 were \$125,474 for property, casualty, and workers compensation coverage.

### NOTE O -- COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### Commitments

The City has entered into contracts for the following project. As of September 30, 2017, estimated future commitments associated with these contracts are as follows:

	Estin	Estimated Project		Expended		nated Future
Project	C	Cost to City		to Date	Commitment	
State Highway 16 Water and					'	_
Sewer Infrastructure Project	\$	2,434,029	\$	1,554,238	\$	879,791

### Litigation

Management is unaware of any pending or threatened claims that may have a material effect on the City's financial position.

### **NOTE O -- COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)**

### Chapter 380 Economic Development Agreements

The City of Helotes and the Economic Development Corporation have entered into Chapter 380 Economic Development Agreements to encourage growth within the City. The Agreements involve the City and Economic Development Corporation reimbursing the project costs through refunding portions of the sales and use taxes and/or increased property tax revenues, up to a maximum amount. The City and Economic Development Corporation are accruing estimated sales and use tax rebates as of September 30, 2017 based on the sales and use tax and property tax collections of projects in anticipation of the developers' requests for payment. The terms of the agreements are as follows:

	Sales Tax Ref	und Agreement	N	Maximum Grant		Current Year		Maximum Balance	
Development	City	EDC		Amount	R	eductions		Due	Term
Bandera Helotes Plaza	50%	50%	\$	300,000	\$	-	\$	300,000	10 years
Bluff and Shops at Old Town Helotes	50%	50%	\$	2,000,000	\$	-	\$	2,000,000	15 years
Texas Developers, LLC	75% of excess	75% of excess		N/A	\$	598,066		N/A	20 years
CSDRE, LLC & Slim Texas, LLC	40%	40%	\$	600,000	\$	-	\$	600,000	13 years
			N	Maximum		Current	l	Maximum	
	Ad Valorem	Tax Refund		Grant		Year		Balance	
Development	City	EDC		Amount	R	eductions		Due	Term
New Leaf Homes	25%	0%	\$	3,542,817	\$	_	\$	3,542,817	25 years

The City has adopted the state criteria for 380 Economic Development Agreements. Each entity has a separate performance agreement.



### REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Required supplementary information includes financial information and disclosures that are required by the GASB but are not considered a part of the basic financial statements. Such information includes:

- Budgetary Comparison Schedule General Fund
- Budgetary Comparison Schedule Economic Development Corporation
- Schedule of Changes Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios
- Notes to Schedule of Changes Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS

### REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

### SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - GENERAL FUND

### FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

(WITH COMPARATIVE ACTUAL TOTALS FOR 2016)

	Rudget	Amounts	2017 Actual	Variance Favorable	2016 Actual
	Original	Final	Amounts	(Unfavorable)	Amounts
REVENUES			Timounts	(emm, emery)	
Taxes	\$ 3,932,675	\$ 3,932,675	\$ 4,579,293	\$ 646,618	\$ 3,782,875
Franchise Fees	693,111	693,111	761,733	68,622	731,955
Licenses and Permits	453,615	453,615	505,877	52,262	418,153
Municipal Court	394,132	407,659	424,030	16,371	394,014
Fire Department	155,631	155,631	125,373	(30,258)	147,248
Grants	-	140,000	159,797	19,797	-
Interest	5,418	5,418	20,769	15,351	8,378
Miscellaneous	196,191	196,191	189,321	(6,870)	188,931
Total Revenues	5,830,773	5,984,300	6,766,193	781,893	5,671,554
EXPENDITURES					
City Council:					
Seminars	94	44	44	-	130
Reimbursements	2,389	2,606	3,052	(446)	2,297
Total City Council	2,483	2,650	3,096	(446)	2,427
City Administration:					
Personnel	280,400	290,756	290,756	_	260,060
Insurance	71,902	76,045	76,045	-	67,512
Contractual	352,039	378,572	790,424	(411,852)	413,723
Supplies and Maintenance	90,320	103,905	105,955	(2,050)	130,536
Total City Administration	794,661	849,278	1,263,180	(413,902)	871,831
Dispatch Services:					
Personnel	468,249	505,188	505,188	-	444,338
Contractual	41,998	22,957	23,042	(85)	20,218
Supplies and Maintenance	13,730	29,102	30,262	(1,160)	31,553
Total Dispatch Services	523,977	557,247	558,492	(1,245)	496,109
City Secretary:					
Personnel	87,804	97,213	97,024	189	85,829
Contractual	27,415	20,352	20,118	234	10,812
Supplies and Maintenance	11,616	12,270	12,827	(557)	13,175
Total City Secretary	126,835	129,835	129,969	(134)	109,816
Human Resources:					
Personnel	101,340	111,293	109,676	1,617	88,357
Contractual	-	315	315	-, · - / -	-
Supplies and Maintenance	-	187	130	57	-
Total Human Resources	\$ 101,340	\$ 111,795	\$ 110,121	\$ 1,674	\$ 88,357

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS

### REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

### SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - GENERAL FUND (CONTINUED)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 (WITH COMPARATIVE ACTUAL TOTALS FOR 2016)

	Dudget	Amounts	2017	Variance	2016 Actual Amounts	
	Original	Final	Actual Amounts	Favorable (Unfavorable)		
EXPENDITURES (CONT.)	Original	ГШат	Amounts	(Olliavorable)	Amounts	
Municipal Court:						
Personnel	\$ 260,689	\$ 184,256	\$ 179,058	\$ 5,198	\$ 164,391	
Contractual	81,675	73,951	73,027	924	64,605	
Supplies and Maintenance	2,520	2,520	1,800	720	2,259	
Total Municipal Court	344,884	260,727	253,885	6,842	231,255	
Total Manicipal Court	344,004	200,727		0,042	231,233	
Animal Control, Public Works:						
Personnel	202,005	225,760	223,096	2,664	153,562	
Contractual	45,301	21,087	22,473	(1,386)	110,170	
Supplies and Maintenance	8,473	12,075	12,543	(468)	8,209	
Capital Outlay	20,000	62,290	69,224	(6,934)	56,384	
Total Animal Control,						
Public Works	275,779	321,212	327,336	(6,124)	328,325	
Development Services:						
Personnel	136,827	145,227	141,014	4,213	129,315	
Contractual	10,308	4,030	3,274	756	13,272	
Total Development Services	147,135	149,257	144,288	4,969	142,587	
Total Development Services	117,133	117,237		1,505	112,507	
Buildings and Grounds:						
Contractual	240,249	163,936	165,717	(1,781)	134,702	
Supplies and Maintenance	984	2,088	2,192	(104)	21,248	
Community Events	145,261	37,270	87,312	(50,042)	82,351	
Utilities	-	114,515	121,363	(6,848)	109,342	
Capital Outlay	75,274	137	137		72,379	
Total Buildings and Grounds	461,768	317,946	376,721	(58,775)	420,022	
Police Department:						
Personnel	1,414,985	1,379,542	1,378,260	1,282	1,320,116	
Contractual	34,111	42,155	41,927	228	33,971	
Supplies and Maintenance	95,989	80,555	83,630	(3,075)	121,301	
Capital Outlay	61,311	164,701	164,567	134	129,854	
Total Police Department	\$ 1,606,396	\$ 1,666,953	\$1,668,384	\$ (1,431)	\$ 1,605,242	

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS

### REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

### SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - GENERAL FUND (CONTINUED)

### FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 (WITH COMPARATIVE ACTUAL TOTALS FOR 2016)

	Budget A	Amounts	2017 Actual	Variance Favorable	2016 Actual	
	Original	Final	Amounts	(Unfavorable)	Amounts	
EXPENDITURES (CONT.)						
Emergency Medical Services:						
Personnel	\$ 364,186	\$ 370,258	\$ 369,224	\$ 1,034	\$ 351,919	
Contractual	29,246	33,076	35,829	(2,753)	18,487	
Supplies and Maintenance	42,528	41,863	42,489	(626)	42,882	
Total Emergency						
Medical Services	435,960	445,197	447,542	(2,345)	413,288	
Fire Department:						
Personnel	1,005,014	1,060,283	1,060,246	37	1,011,340	
Contractual	26,511	38,894	39,311	(417)	25,492	
Supplies and Maintenance	121,959	141,409	132,954	8,455	95,757	
Capital Outlay		140,000	149,594	(9,594)	33,916	
Total Fire Department	1,153,484	1,380,586	1,382,105	(1,519)	1,166,505	
Debt Service:						
Principal	_	73,192	73,192	_	67,147	
Interest and Fiscal Charges	_	8,269	8,269	-	849	
Total Debt Service		81,461	81,461		67,996	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	5,974,702	6,274,144	6,746,580	(472,436)	5,943,760	
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues						
Over (Under) Expenditures	(143,929)	(289,844)	19,613	309,457	(272,206)	
	_					
Other Financing Sources (Uses)		40	107.774		100 505	
Capital Lease Proceeds	-	105,772	105,772	-	193,567	
Proceeds from Sale of Land	(2(5,050)	- (225.007)	(220, 250)	- (4.442)	519,514	
Transfers In (Out)	(265,950)	(225,807)	(230,250)	(4,443)		
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	(265.050)	(120.025)	(124,478)	(4.442)	712 001	
Sources (Oses)	(265,950)	(120,035)	(124,476)	(4,443)	713,081	
Net Change in						
Fund Balance	(409,879)	(409,879)	(104,865)	305,014	440,875	
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	2,101,643	2,101,643	2,101,643		1,660,768	
ENDING FUND BALANCE	\$ 1,691,764	\$ 1,691,764	\$1,996,778	\$ 305,014	\$ 2,101,643	

# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL

### ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 (WITH COMPARATIVE ACTUAL TOTALS FOR 2016)

	Budgeted	Amounts	2017	2017 Variance	
			Actual	Favorable	Actual
	Original	Final	Amounts	(Unfavorable)	Amounts
REVENUES	<b></b>	<b>. . . . . . . . . .</b>	Ø 020 046	<b></b>	<b>A</b> ((0.0)
Taxes	\$ 670,086	\$ 701,882	\$ 939,946	\$ 238,064	\$ 669,963
Interest	2,200	2,091	3,008	917	1,832
TOTAL REVENUES	672,286	703,973	942,954	238,981	671,795
EXPENDITURES					
Chapter 380 Agreement Expense	3,800	-	196,404	(196,404)	-
Professional Services	69,387	125,533	98,095	27,438	96,456
Personnel Costs	91,400	70,174	70,173	1	63,484
City Administration Cost	15,000	15,000	15,000	-	15,000
Training and Seminars	9,500	9,400	6,988	2,412	7,753
Parking Lease Agreement	6,000	5,900	4,272	1,628	· -
Office Supplies and Expenses	3,800	3,729	2,947	782	3,146
Other Expenses	1,250	2,088	1,967	121	128
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	200,137	231,824	395,846	(164,022)	185,967
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues					
Over (Under) Expenditures	472,149	472,149	547,108	74,959	485,828
OTHER FINANCING SOURSES (USES) Transfer In (Out) to					
Primary Government	(471,019)	(471,019)	(473,539)	(2,520)	(499,249)
TOTAL OTHER	(1/1,015)	(171,017)	(170,007)	(2,320)	(199,219)
FINANCING					
SOURCES (USES)	(471,019)	(471,019)	(473,539)	(2,520)	(499,249)
Net Change in Fund Balance	1,130	1,130	73,569	72,439	(13,421)
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	733,861	733,861	733,861		747,282
ENDING FUND BALANCE	\$ 734,991	\$ 734,991	\$ 807,430	\$ 72,439	\$ 733,861



## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

**Budgetary Information** – The budget is prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The City maintains strict budgetary controls. The objective of these controls is to ensure compliance with legal provisions embodied in the annual appropriated budget approved by the City Council and, as such, is a good management control device. The following are the funds which have legally adopted annual budgets: General Fund, Debt Service Fund, Capital Replacement Fund, Economic Development Corporation Fund, Municipal Court Security Fund, Municipal Court Technology Fund, Police Training and Education Fund, School Safety Fund, PEG Capital Fund, State Forfeiture Fund, Federal Forfeiture Fund, and Street Maintenance Fund.

Budgetary preparation and control is exercised at the Department level. Actual expenditures may not legally exceed appropriations at the fund level, unless authorized by City Council.

Encumbrances represent commitments related to unperformed contracts for goods or services. Encumbrance accounting, under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of resources are recorded, is utilized in the governmental funds. Encumbrances lapse at year-end, and the commitments must be reappropriated and honored during the subsequent year.

At a minimum, City Management provides to City Council on a monthly basis a Revenue and Expenditure, Balance Sheet, and Encumbrance Report for review and approval.

Current year payments related to Chapter 380 Agreements were shown as an expenditure in the City Administration department rather than a reduction of tax revenue.



# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION TEXAS MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM SCHEDULE OF CHANGES – NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS LAST THREE CALENDAR YEARS

Total Pension Liability

		2014		2015		2016
	¢.	212.260	Ф	227 502	¢.	250 215
Service Cost	\$	312,260	\$	327,583	\$	359,315
Interest (on the Total Pension Liability)		284,925		319,639		359,177
Changes of Benefit Terms		(00, (15)		(22.700)		(20, (00)
Differences Between Expected and Actual Experience		(23,615)		(23,708)		(30,699)
Changes of Assumptions		(00.077)		182,129		(54.011)
Benefit Payments, Including Refunds of Employee Contributions		(92,277)		(78,371)		(54,911)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		481,293		727,272		632,882
Total Pension Liability - Beginning		3,960,372	Φ.	4,441,665		5,168,937
Total Pension Liability - Ending	\$	4,441,665	\$	5,168,937	\$	5,801,819
Plan Fiduciary Net Po	sitio	n				
T MIT I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Sitio	2014		2015		2016
Contributions - Employer	\$	146,084	\$	189,904	\$	192,822
Contributions - Employee		174,603		179,826		189,946
Net Investment Income		212,062		6,116		299,552
Benefit Payments, Including Refunds of Employee Contributions		(92,277)		(78,371)		(54,911)
Administrative Expense		(2,213)		(3,724)		(3,387)
Other		(182)		(184)		(182)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		438,077		293,567		623,840
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning		3,705,756		4,143,833		4,437,400
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending	\$	4,143,833	\$	4,437,400	\$	5,061,240
Net Pension Liability (Asset) - Ending	\$	297,832	\$	731,537	\$	740,579
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage						
of Total Pension Liability		93.29%		85.85%		87.24%
Covered Employee Payroll	\$	2,910,052	\$	2,997,102	\$	3,165,775
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage						
of Covered Employee Payroll		10.23%		24.41%		23.39%

Note: The schedule above reflects the changes in the net pension liability for the current year and the previous two plan years. GASB Statement No. 68 requires 10 fiscal years of data to be provided in this schedule. The City will build the schedule over the 10-year period beginning December 31, 2014 as data becomes available.

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS LAST FOUR FISCAL YEARS

### **Schedule of Contributions:**

	2014	2015	2016	2017
Actuarially Determined Contribution	\$ 139,948	\$ 176,938	\$ 193,049	\$ 224,662
Contribution in Relation to the Actuarially				
Determined Contribution	139,948	176,938	193,049	224,662
Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Covered Employee Payroll	\$ 2,867,984	\$ 2,970,585	\$ 3,132,983	\$ 3,387,847
1 0				
Contributions as a Percentage of				
Covered Employee Payroll	4.88%	5.96%	6.16%	6.63%
Covered Employee Tayron	1.0070	5.5070	0.1070	0.0570

Note: The schedule above reflects the TMRS contributions made by the City for the current year and the previous three fiscal years. GASB Statement No. 68 requires 10 fiscal years of data to be provided in this schedule. The City will build the schedule over the 10-year period beginning September 30, 2014 as data becomes available.

### NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

#### **Valuation Date:**

Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of December 31 and become effective in January, thirteen (13) months later.

### Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Contribution Rates:

Actuarial Cost Method Entry Age Normal

Amortization Method Level Percentage of Payroll, Closed

Remaining Amortization Period 27 Years

Asset Valuation Method 10 Year Smoothed Market, 15% soft corridor

Inflation 2.50%

Salary Increases 3.50% to 10.50% including inflation

Investment Rate of Return 6.75%

Retirement Age Experience-based table of rates that are specific to the City's plan of

benefits. Last updated for the 2015 valuation pursuant to an experience

study of the period 2010 - 2014.

Mortality RP2000 Combined Mortality Table with Blue Collar Adjustment with male

rates multiplied by 109% and female rates multiplied by 103% and

projected on a fully generational basis with scale BB.

### Other Information:

Notes There were no benefit changes during the year



### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Supplementary information includes financial statements and schedules not required by the GASB, nor a part of the basic financial statements, but are presented for purposes of additional analysis.

Such statements and schedules include:

- Comparative Balance Sheets General Fund
- Comparative Balance Sheets Economic Development Corporation
- Comparative Statements Debt Service Fund
- Comparative Statements Capital Projects Fund
- Combining Statement Nonmajor Funds
- Comparative Statements Individual Nonmajor Funds

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS GENERAL FUND SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

	2017		2016		
ASSETS					
Cash and Investments	\$	1,898,519	\$	1,721,076	
Certificate of Deposit		501,706		651,710	
Receivables:					
Property Taxes (Net of Allowance)		37,390		31,024	
Sales Tax and Other Receivables		682,429		516,646	
Due from Other Funds		110,469		187,946	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	3,230,513	\$	3,108,402	
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF					
RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES					
Liabilities:					
Accounts Payable	\$	127,229	\$	112,071	
Accrued Expenditures		228,033		28,630	
Due to Other Funds		613,571		603,566	
Unearned Revenue - Lease		107,310		126,455	
Total Liabilities		1,076,143		870,722	
Deferred Inflows of Resources:					
Unavailable Revenue - Taxes		36,621		31,024	
Unavailable Revenue - EMS		120,971		105,013	
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources		157,592		136,037	
Fund Balances:					
Unassigned		1,996,778		2,101,643	
Total Fund Balances		1,996,778	-	2,101,643	
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS					
OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES	\$	3,230,513	\$	3,108,402	

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

ACCETC	2017		 2016	
ASSETS				
Cash and Investments	\$	401,534	\$ 703,464	
Certificate of Deposit		250,882	-	
Accounts Receivable - State		198,595	121,961	
Due from Other Funds		70,267	 	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	921,278	\$ 825,425	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities:				
Accounts Payable	\$	-	\$ 14,707	
Accrued Liabilities		113,848	14,101	
Due to Other Funds			62,756	
Total Liabilities		113,848	 91,564	
Fund Balance:				
Restricted for Economic Development Corporation		807,430	 733,861	
Total Fund Balance		807,430	733,861	
TOTAL LIABILITIES				
AND FUND BALANCE	\$	921,278	\$ 825,425	

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS DEBT SERVICE FUND SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

	2017		2016	
ASSETS				
Cash and Investments	\$	116,982	\$	174,897
Taxes Receivable (Net of Allowances)		9,638		9,208
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	126,620	\$	184,105
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF				
RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES				
Liabilities:				
Due to Other Funds	\$	110,469	\$	110,469
Total Liabilities		110,469		110,469
Deferred Inflows of Resources:				
Unavailable Revenue - Taxes		9,440		9,208
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources		9,440		9,208
Fund Balance:				
Restricted for Debt Service		6,711		64,428
Total Fund Balance		6,711		64,428
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS				
OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES	\$	126,620	\$	184,105

# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE DEBT SERVICE FUND

### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

		2017		2016		
REVENUES	-					
Taxes	\$	694,343	\$	725,684		
Interest		182		439		
TOTAL REVENUES		694,525		726,123		
EXPENDITURES						
Principal Payments		765,000		735,000		
Interest and Fiscal Charges		391,460		409,751		
Settlement Expense		301,108				
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,457,568		1,144,751		
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues						
Over (Under) Expenditures		(763,043)		(418,628)		
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)						
Transfers In (Out)		705,326		467,795		
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING						
SOURCES (USES)		705,326		467,795		
Net Change in Fund Balance		(57,717)		49,167		
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE		64,428		15,261		
ENDING FUND BALANCE	\$	6,711	\$	64,428		

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

ASSETS	2017		2016		
Cash and Investments, Restricted	\$	2,384,767	\$	2,929,203	
Certificates of Deposit	•		Ψ	119,000	
Due from Other Funds		533,299		603,566	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	2,918,066	\$	3,651,769	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities:					
Accounts Payable	S	521,758	\$	_	
Total Liabilities		521,758		<u>-</u>	
Fund Balance:					
Restricted for Capital Projects		2,396,308		3,651,769	
Total Fund Balance		2,396,308		3,651,769	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND					
FUND BALANCE	\$	2,918,066	\$	3,651,769	

# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND

### FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

	2017		2016		
REVENUES					
Interest	\$	14,534	\$	5,111	
Miscellaneous		22,241		24,508	
TOTAL REVENUES		36,775		29,619	
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
City Administration		-		1,245	
Capital Outlay		1,291,807		709,829	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,291,807		711,074	
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues					
Over (Under) Expenditures	(	1,255,032)		(681,455)	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)					
Transfers In (Out)		(429)		31,454	
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING		(429)		31,454	
Net Change in Fund Balance	(	1,255,461)		(650,001)	
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE		3,651,769		4,301,770	
ENDING FUND BALANCE	\$	2,396,308	\$	3,651,769	

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMBINING BALANCE SHEET NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

	(	unicipal Court urity Fund	(	unicipal Court ology Fund	T	Police raining Fund	9	School Safety Fund
ASSETS								
Cash and Investments	\$	6,655	\$	-	\$	2,671	\$	37
Receivables:								
Sales Tax and Other Receivable		-		-		-		20,873
Due from General Fund				-				
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	6,655	\$		\$	2,671	\$	20,910
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF								
RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES								
Liabilities:								
Withdrawals in Excess of Deposits	\$	_	\$	1,352	\$	_	\$	_
Accounts Payable		-		-		325		-
Total Liabilities		-		1,352		325		-
Fund Balances:								
Restricted for:								
Court Technology and Security		6,655		-		_		_
School Safety		-		-		-		20,910
Police Training		-		-		2,346		-
PEG Capital Fees		-		-		-		-
Street Maintenance		-		-		-		-
State Forfeiture		-		-		-		-
Unassigned		-		(1,352)		-		-
Total Fund Balances		6,655		(1,352)		2,346		20,910
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED								
INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND								
FUND BALANCES	\$	6,655	\$		\$	2,671	\$	20,910

	PEG Capital Fund	Fo	State Forfeiture Fund		Federal Forfeiture Fund		Street Maintenance Fund		Total Ionmajor Funds
\$	45,170	\$	9,038	\$	-	\$	263,988	\$	327,559
	5,826 10,005		-		-		98,260		124,959 10,005
\$	61,001	\$	9,038	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	362,248	\$	462,523
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,352
_									325
							<u>-</u> _		1,677
	-		-		-		-		6,655
	-		-		-		-		20,910
	-		-		-		-		2,346
	61,001		-		-		-		61,001
	-		0.020		-		362,248		362,248
	-		9,038		-		-		9,038 (1,352)
	61,001		9,038				362,248		460,846
\$	61,001	\$	9,038	\$		\$	362,248	\$	462,523

# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE NONMAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017

	Municipal Court Security Fund	Municipal Court Technology Fund	Police Training Fund	School Safety Fund
REVENUES				
Taxes	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Franchise Fees	-	-	-	-
Municipal Court	6,815	9,095	-	-
Interest	20	4	11	127
Miscellaneous			1,799	34,057
TOTAL REVENUES	6,835	9,099	1,810	34,184
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
Municipal Court	-	8,505	-	-
Development Services	-	-	-	-
Police Department			1,949	46,674
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		8,505	1,949	46,674
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues				
Over (Under) Expenditures	6,835	594	(139)	(12,490)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers In (Out)	(4,000)	(4,500)		2,223
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING				
SOURCES (USES)	(4,000)	(4,500)		2,223
Net Change in Fund Balance	2,835	(3,906)	(139)	(10,267)
FUND BALANCES - OCTOBER 1	3,820	2,554	2,485	31,177
FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT) - SEPTEMBER 31	\$ 6,655	\$ (1,352)	\$ 2,346	\$ 20,910

C	PEG Capital Fund	State Forfeiture Fund		Federal Forfeiture Fund		Street Maintenance Fund			Total onmajor Funds
\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	465,860	\$	465,860
	24,165		-		-		-		24,165
	-		-		-		-		15,910
	152		-		-		850		1,164
			1,642		-		_		37,498
	24,317		1,642		-		466,710		544,597
	-		-		-		-		8,505
	21,512		-		-		237,730	259,242	
			84		-				48,707
	21,512		84			- 237,730			316,454
	2,805		1,558		<u>-</u>		228,980		228,143
	(88)		7,480				(2,223)		(1,108)
	(88)		7,480				(2,223)		(1,108)
	2,717		9,038		-		226,757		227,035
	58,284		-				135,491		233,811
\$	61,001	\$	9,038	\$		\$	362,248	\$	460,846

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS MUNICIPAL COURT SECURITY FUND SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

	2017		 2016
ASSETS			
Cash and Investments	\$	6,655	\$ 3,820
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	6,655	\$ 3,820
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF			
RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES			
Liabilities:			
Accounts Payable	\$	-	\$ -
Total Liabilities			
Fund Balances:			
Restricted for:			
Court Security		6,655	3,820
Total Fund Balances		6,655	3,820
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED			
INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND			
FUND BALANCES	\$	6,655	\$ 3,820

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND

### CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE MUNICIPAL COURT SECURITY FUND

	2017		2016		
REVENUES					
Municipal Court	\$	6,815	\$	5,810	
Interest		20		4_	
TOTAL REVENUES		6,835		5,814	
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
Municipal Court				5,475	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES				5,475	
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues					
Over (Under) Expenditures		6,835		339	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):					
Transfers In (Out)		(4,000)			
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)		(4,000)		_	
(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,		(1)000)	-		
Net Change in Fund Balance		2,835		339	
FUND BALANCES - OCTOBER 1		3,820		3,481	
FUND BALANCES - SEPTEMBER 30	\$	6,655	\$	3,820	

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS MUNICIPAL COURT TECHNOLOGY FUND SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

	2017			2016
ASSETS				
Cash and Investments	\$	-	\$	950
Prepaid Items		_		2,477
TOTAL ASSETS	\$		\$	3,427
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF				
RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES				
Liabilities:	Ф	1 252	Ф	
Withdrawals in Excess of Deposits	\$	1,352	\$	-
Accounts Payable		<u>-</u>	-	873
Total Liabilities		1,352	-	873
Fund Balances:				
Nonspendable:				
Prepaid Items		-		2,477
Restricted for:				
Court Technology		-		77
Unassigned		(1,352)		_
Total Fund Balances		(1,352)		2,554
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED				
INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND				
FUND BALANCES			\$	3,427

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### MUNICIPAL COURT TECHNOLOGY FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

	2017			2016
REVENUES				
Municipal Court	\$	9,095	\$	7,749
Interest		4		3
TOTAL REVENUES		9,099		7,752
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
Municipal Court		8,505		12,214
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		8,505		12,214
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues				
Over (Under) Expenditures		594		(4,462)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers In (Out)		(4,500)		_
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING	•		-	
SOURCES (USES)		(4,500)		
Net Change in Fund Balance		(3,906)		(4,462)
FUND BALANCES - OCTOBER 1		2,554		7,016
FUND BALANCES (DEFICIT) - SEPTEMBER 30	\$	(1,352)	\$	2,554

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS POLICE TRAINING FUND SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

ASSETS	2017			2016
Cash and Investments TOTAL ASSETS	\$ \$	2,671 2,671	\$ \$	2,485 2,485
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES				
Liabilities:				
Accounts Payable	\$	325	\$	-
Total Liabilities		325		-
Fund Balances:				
Restricted for:				
Police Department		2,346		2,485
Total Fund Balances		2,346		2,485
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED				
INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND				
FUND BALANCES	\$	2,671	\$	2,485

# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE POLICE TRAINING FUND

	2017			2016
REVENUES				
Interest	\$	11	\$	2
Miscellaneous		1,799		1,890
TOTAL REVENUES		1,810		1,892
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
Police Department		1,949		3,922
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,949		3,922
Net Change in Fund Balance		(139)		(2,030)
FUND BALANCES - OCTOBER 1		2,485		4,515
FUND BALANCES - SEPTEMBER 30	\$	2,346	\$	2,485

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS SCHOOL SAFETY FUND SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

ASSETS	2017		 2016
ASSETS			
Cash and Investments	\$	37	\$ 31,177
Receivables:			
Sales Tax and Other Receivable		20,873	_
TOTAL ASSETS	\$	20,910	\$ 31,177
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF			
RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES			
Liabilities:			
Accounts Payable	\$	-	\$ -
Total Liabilities		_	-
Fund Balances:			
Restricted for:			
School Safety		20,910	31,177
Total Fund Balances		20,910	 31,177
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED			
INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND			
FUND BALANCES	\$	20,910	\$ 31,177

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### SCHOOL SAFETY FUND

	 2017	2016		
REVENUES				
Interest	\$ 127	\$	19	
Miscellaneous	 34,057		14,939	
TOTAL REVENUES	34,184		14,958	
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
Police Department	 46,674		2,984	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	 46,674		2,984	
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues				
Over (Under) Expenditures	 (12,490)		11,974	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Transfers In (Out)	2,223		_	
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING				
SOURCES (USES)	 2,223			
Net Change in Fund Balance	(10,267)		11,974	
FUND BALANCES - OCTOBER 1	 31,177	<u>,</u>	19,203	
FUND BALANCES - SEPTEMBER 30	\$ 20,910	\$	31,177	

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS PEG CAPITAL FUND SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

	2017		2016		
ASSETS	 				
Cash and Investments	\$ 45,170	\$	66,825		
Receivables:					
Sales Tax and Other Receivable	5,826		6,180		
Due from General Fund	 10,005		-		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 61,001	\$	73,005		
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF					
RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES					
Liabilities:					
Due to General Fund	\$ 	\$	14,721		
Total Liabilities	 		14,721		
Fund Balances:					
Restricted for:					
PEG Capital Fees	 61,001		58,284		
Total Fund Balances	61,001		58,284		
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED					
INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND					
FUND BALANCES	\$ 61,001	\$	73,005		

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### $\begin{array}{c} \text{PEG CAPITAL FUND} \\ \text{FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016} \end{array}$

	2017		2016		
REVENUES					
Franchise Fees	\$	24,165	\$	26,297	
Interest		152		29	
TOTAL REVENUES		24,317		26,326	
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
Development Services		21,512		21,044	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		21,512		21,044	
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues					
Over (Under) Expenditures		2,805		5,282	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):					
Transfers In (Out)		(88)		_	
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING					
SOURCES (USES)		(88)		-	
Net Change in Fund Balance		2,717		5,282	
FUND BALANCES - OCTOBER 1		58,284		53,002	
FUND BALANCES - SEPTEMBER 30	\$	61,001	\$	58,284	

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS STATE FORFEITURE FUND SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

	 2017	20	16
ASSETS			
Cash and Investments	\$ 9,038	\$	-
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 9,038	\$	
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF			
RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES			
Liabilities:			
Accounts Payable	\$ -	\$	_
Total Liabilities	 -		-
Fund Balances:			
Restricted for:			
State Forfeiture	9,038		_
Total Fund Balances	 9,038		-
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED			
INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND			
FUND BALANCES	\$ 9,038	\$	_

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### STATE FORFEITURE FUND

	2017		2016		
REVENUES			•		
Interest	\$	-	\$	17	
Miscellaneous		1,642			
TOTAL REVENUES		1,642		17	
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
Police Department		84		17	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		84		17	
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues					
Over (Under) Expenditures		1,558			
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):					
Transfers In (Out)		7,480		_	
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING					
SOURCES (USES)		7,480			
Net Change in Fund Balance		9,038		-	
FUND BALANCES - OCTOBER 1					
FUND BALANCES - SEPTEMBER 30	\$	9,038	\$		

# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FEDERAL FORFEITURE FUND

	2017		2016	<u> </u>
REVENUES			•	
Interest	\$		\$	17
TOTAL REVENUES			•	17
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
Police Department				17
TOTAL EXPENDITURES				17
Net Change in Fund Balance		-		-
FUND BALANCES - OCTOBER 1				
FUND BALANCES - SEPTEMBER 30	\$	_	\$	

# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE EXPLORER POST FUND

	2017			2016
REVENUES				
Miscellaneous	\$		\$	
TOTAL REVENUES				
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
Development Services				2,783
TOTAL EXPENDITURES			-	2,783
Net Change in Fund Balance		-		(2,783)
FUND BALANCES - OCTOBER 1				2,783
FUND BALANCES - SEPTEMBER 30	\$		\$	

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS STREET MAINTENANCE FUND SEPTEMBER 30, 2017 AND 2016

	201	7	2016
ASSETS			
Cash and Investments	\$ 263	,988 \$	79,919
Receivables:			
Sales Tax and Other Receivable	98	,260	59,839
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 362	,248 \$	139,758
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF			
RESOURCES, AND FUND BALANCES			
Liabilities:			
Accounts Payable	\$	- \$	4,267
Total Liabilities			4,267
Fund Balances:			
Restricted for:			
Street Maintenance	362	,248	135,491
Total Fund Balances	362	,248	135,491
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED			
INFLOWS OF RESOURCES, AND			
FUND BALANCES	\$ 362	,248 \$	139,758

# CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE STREET MAINTENANCE FUND

	2017	2016
REVENUES		
Taxes	\$ 465,860	\$ 168,817
Interest	850	-
TOTAL REVENUES	466,710	168,817
EXPENDITURES		
Current:		
Development Services	237,730	33,326
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	237,730	33,326
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues		
Over (Under) Expenditures	228,980	135,491
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):		
Transfers In (Out)	(2,223)	-
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SOURCES (USES)	(2,223)	
Net Change in Fund Balance	226,757	135,491
FUND BALANCES - OCTOBER 1	135,491	
FUND BALANCES - SEPTEMBER 30	\$ 362,248	\$ 135,491



### STATISTICAL SECTION

The following unaudited Statistical Tables reflect social and economic data, financial trends, and fiscal capacity of the City.

This part of the City of Helotes' comprehensive annual financial report represents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and required supplementary information says about Helotes' overall financial health.

#### **Contents**

### Financial Trends – Tables 1 through 5

These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how Helotes' financial performance and well-being have changed over time.

#### Revenue Capacity – Tables 6 through 9

These schedules contain information to help the reader assess Helotes' most significant local revenue source, the property tax.

### Debt Capacity - Tables 10 and 11

These schedules present information to help the reader assess the affordability of Helotes' current levels of outstanding debt and its ability to issue additional debt.

#### Demographic and Economic Information – Tables 12 and 13

These schedules offer demographic and economic indicators to help the reader understand the environment within which Helotes' financial activities take place.

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS NET POSITION BY COMPONENT LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Fiscal Year

	riscai Teai							
	200	8*		2009*		2010*		2011*
Governmental Activities								
Net Investment in Capital Assets	\$ 2,44	1,885	\$ 2	2,801,984	\$	2,695,447	\$	2,609,738
Restricted	87	0,948		739,054		822,875		746,104
Unrestricted (Deficit)	2,22	4,647		2,274,546		2,259,157		2,272,143
Total Governmental Activities	\$ 5,53	7,480	\$ :	5,815,584	\$	5,777,479	\$	5,627,985
Business-Type Activities**								
Net Investment in Capital Assets	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	510,684
Restricted		-		-		-		-
Unrestricted (Deficit)								(362,016)
Total Business-Type Activities	\$		\$		\$		\$	148,668
Primary Government								
Net Investment in Capital Assets	\$ 2,44	1,885	\$ 2	2,801,984	\$	2,695,447	\$	3,120,422
Restricted	87	0,948		739,054		822,875		746,104
Unrestricted (Deficit)	2,22	4,647		2,274,546		2,259,157		1,910,127
Total Primary Government	\$ 5,53	7,480	\$ :	5,815,584	\$	5,777,479	\$	5,776,653
								<u> </u>

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Prior periods have not been restated for the effects of the adoption of GASB Statement No. 68.

<sup>\*\*</sup>The Business-type Activities were transferred to the Governmental Activities on October 1, 2014.

TABLE 1

Fiscal Year

	riscai icai								
2012*	2013*	2014*	2015	2016	2017				
\$ 2,525,712	\$ 2,559,746	\$ 2,745,214	\$ 3,840,681	\$ 2,317,358	\$ 3,393,539				
695,127	765,238	798,952	878,422	1,005,770	1,218,367				
2,540,235	2,881,908	3,509,184	(534,577)	449,432	(1,308,086)				
\$ 5,761,074	\$ 6,206,892	\$ 7,053,350	\$ 4,184,526	\$ 3,772,560	\$ 3,303,820				
\$ 450,745	\$ 390,806	\$ 329,498	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -				
-	-	-	-	-	-				
(877,756)	(1,501,982)	(2,170,221)							
\$ (427,011)	\$ (1,111,176)	\$ (1,840,723)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -				
\$ 2,976,457	\$ 2.950.552	\$ 3,074,712	\$ 3,840,681	¢ 2217250	\$ 3,393,539				
. , ,	· 9 9	, , ,	. , ,	\$ 2,317,358	, , ,				
695,127	765,238	798,952	878,422	1,005,770	1,218,367				
1,662,479	1,379,926	1,338,963	(534,577)	449,432	(1,308,086)				
\$ 5,334,063	\$ 5,095,716	\$ 5,212,627	\$ 4,184,526	\$ 3,772,560	\$ 3,303,820				

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS CHANGE IN NET POSITION LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	Fiscal Year							
	2008* 2009*		2009*	2010*		2011*		
Primary Government Expenses								
Governmental Activities:								
City Council	\$	2,709	\$	4,607	\$	1,601	\$	2,220
City Administration		204,334		285,686		658,203		680,091
Dispatch		-		-		-		-
City Secretary		107,279		109,745		89,391		95,229
Human Resources		-		-		-		13,358
Municipal Court		318,373		297,403		236,389		245,506
Animal Control, Public Works		-		-		260,390		389,432
Development Services		539,247		455,910		56,236		53,911
Buildings and Grounds		184,029		171,832		242,053		360,351
Police Department		1,526,649		1,697,362		1,664,264		1,505,223
Emergency Services		193,781		246,857		259,704		_
Fire Department		872,148		902,743		917,726		1,156,926
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		-
Interest and Fiscal Charges on Long-Term Debt		527,658		424,361		406,506		383,924
Total Governmental Activities Expenses		4,476,207		4,596,506		4,792,463		4,886,171
Business-Type Activities**		,		•				
Dispatch		_		_		_		273,290
Emergency Medical Services		_		_		_		281,390
Total Business Type Activities Expenses		_				_		554,680
Total Primary Government Expenses	\$	4,476,207	\$	4,596,506	\$	4,792,463	\$	5,440,851
Program Revenues								
Governmental Activities:								
Charges for Services:								
Municipal Court	\$	305,271	\$	349,424	\$	305,338	\$	302,741
Animal Control, Public Works		, -		· -		_		3,951
Development Services		391,942		250,807		261,620		271,218
Buildings and Grounds		-		· -		14,853		16,790
Police Department		_		39,080		73,990		83,771
Fire & EMS Department		335,327		306,778		75,305		75,060
Operating Grants and Contributions		1,612		6,459		17,607		7,168
Capital Grants and Contributions		54,806		1,950		-		416,050
Total Governmental Activities Program Revenues		1,088,958		954,498		748,713		1,176,749
Business-Type Activities**:								
Charges for Services:								
Dispatch		_		_		_		54,000
Emergency Medical Services		_		_		_		82,080
Capital Grants and Contributions		_		_		_		-,,,,,,
Total Business-Type Activities Program Revenues			_					136,080
Table Comment Barrer Barrer	Φ.	1 000 050	•	054.400	•	749 712	•	1 212 920

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Prior periods have not been restated for the effects of the adoption of GASB Statement No. 68.

Total Primary Government Program Revenues \$ 1,088,958 \$ 954,498 \$

748,713 \$ 1,312,829

<sup>\*\*</sup>The Business-type Activities were transferred to the Governmental Activities on October 1, 2014.

TABLE 2

Fiscal Y	ear
----------	-----

2012* 2013*		2014*	ı Yea	2015	2016	2017		
\$	1,952	\$	2,891	\$ 3,477	\$	3,045	\$ 2,427	\$ 3,096
	777,467		830,758	1,005,449		2,434,134	1,652,423	2,061,818
	-		-	-		130,356	192,556	228,958
	92,634		99,534	102,401		103,952	109,864	114,497
	13,321		14,445	10,031		17,042	91,248	110,574
	272,767		269,700	298,008		296,791	242,764	264,832
	417,889		433,462	464,904		506,340	545,158	758,192
	51,626		93,908	99,167		161,531	576,909	1,342,107
	297,025		324,282	350,322		432,385	1,035,486	515,472
	1,545,810		1,558,849	1,557,978		1,607,179	1,689,271	1,793,494
	-		-	-		185,805	159,129	191,382
	1,120,975		1,106,778	1,241,411		1,589,683	1,567,523	1,703,215
	-		-	-		-	-	-
	372,892		416,689	326,977		409,933	411,846	387,941
	4,964,358		5,151,296	5,460,125		7,878,176	8,276,604	9,475,578
	319,658		370,269	419,557		-	_	_
	440,165		455,302	447,289		-	_	-
	759,823		825,571	866,846		-	_	-
\$	5,724,181	\$	5,976,867	\$ 6,326,971	\$	7,878,176	\$ 8,276,604	\$ 9,475,578
\$	363,923	\$	259,967	\$ 263,801	\$	345,564	\$ 353,903	\$ 398,614
	3,150		4,464	4,885		6,241	7,561	5,400
	353,095		484,609	963,548		689,221	410,592	500,477
	-		-	-		-	-	-
	48,091		49,452	65,703		55,407	53,669	42,970
	76,695		75,081	75,155		293,091	165,775	141,331
	10,594		8,665	8,682		10,784	14,939	193,853
	_		_	_		329,498	_	_
	855,548	-	882,238	 1,381,774		1,729,806	 1,006,439	 1,282,645
	68,729		5,001	5,007		-	-	-
	115,415		136,337	132,274		-	-	-
	-		-	_		(329,498)	_	-
	184,144		141,338	137,281		(329,498)	 	-
\$	1,039,692	\$	1,023,576	\$ 1,519,055	\$	1,400,308	\$ 1,006,439	\$ 1,282,645

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS CHANGE IN NET POSITION (CONTINUED) LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Fiscal Year 2008\* 2009\* 2010\* 2011\* Primary Government Net Expenses Governmental Activities (3,387,249)(3,642,008)(4,043,750)(3,709,422)Business-Type Activities (418,600)**Total Primary Government Net Expenses** \$ (3,642,008) \$ (4,043,750) (3,387,249)\$ (4,128,022) General Revenues and Other Changes in Net Position Governmental Activities: Taxes: General Property Taxes 2,181,026 2,579,291 2,632,523 2,626,085 Sales Taxes 766,305 763,063 871,043 970,912 Franchise Taxes 369,790 359,770 392,595 455,020 Interest and Investment earnings 304,641 196,791 74,450 21,114 Proceeds from Sale of Land Miscellaneous 28,236 21,197 35,034 54,065 Transfers (567,268)Total Governmental General Revenues 3,649,998 3,920,112 4,005,645 3,559,928 Business-Type Activities \*\*: Interest and Investment earnings Transfers 567,268 Total Business-Type General Revenues 567,268 **Total Primary Government General Revenues** 3,649,998 3,920,112 4,005,645 \$ 4,127,196 **Changes In Net Position** Governmental Activities \$ 262,749 \$ 278,104 \$ (38,105)\$ (149,494)Business-Type Activities\*\* 148,668 262,749 **Total Primary Government** \$ \$ 278,104 \$ (38,105)\$ (826)

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Prior periods have not been restated for the effects of the adoption of GASB Statement No. 68.

<sup>\*\*</sup>The Business-type Activities were transferred to the Governmental Activities on October 1, 2014.

TABLE 2 (Cont.)

### Fiscal Year

2012*	2013*	2014*	2015	2016	2017
\$ (4,108,810)	\$ (4,269,058)	\$ (4,078,351)	\$ (6,148,370)	\$ (7,270,165)	\$ (8,192,933)
(575,679)	(684,233)	(729,565)	 (329,498)	-	-
\$ (4,684,489)	\$ (4,953,291)	\$ (4,807,916)	\$ (6,477,868)	\$ (7,270,165)	\$ (8,192,933)
\$ 2,635,240	\$ 2,639,461	\$ 2,681,143	\$ 2,876,136	\$ 3,141,427	\$ 3,377,228
1,054,778	1,424,354	1,645,268	1,846,456	2,208,136	3,308,044
442,683	477,344	527,251	795,805	758,253	785,898
8,488	5,791	9,874	10,314	15,540	39,661
-	-	-	-	519,514	-
100,710	167,926	61,273	72,931	215,329	213,362
 			(2,170,221)	_	-
 4,241,899	 4,714,876	 4,924,809	 3,431,421	 6,858,199	 7,724,193
-	68	18	-	-	-
 			2,170,221	 	-
 	68	18	2,170,221	 	-
\$ 4,241,899	\$ 4,714,944	\$ 4,924,827	\$ 5,601,642	\$ 6,858,199	\$ 7,724,193
\$ 133,089	\$ 445,818	\$ 846,458	\$ (2,716,949)	\$ (411,966)	\$ (468,740)
 (575,679)	(684,165)	(729,547)	1,840,723		-
\$ (442,590)	\$ (238,347)	\$ 116,911	\$ (876,226)	\$ (411,966)	\$ (468,740)

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS FUND BALANCES, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	Fiscal Year				
	2008	2009	2010	2011	
Nonspendable Fund Balance:					
Prepaid Items	\$ -	_\$	\$ 70,355	\$ -	
Total Nonspendable Fund Balance			70,355		
Restricted Fund Balance:					
Court Techonology and Security	31,216	39,639	47,601	44,246	
School Safety	94	94	6,895	15,229	
Police Training	8,217	10,011	17,045	11,196	
PEG Capital Fees	-	-	-	-	
Explorer Post	-	-	-	-	
Street Maintenance	-	-	-	-	
State Forfeiture	-	-	-	-	
<b>Economic Development Corporation</b>	739,407	627,130	587,557	589,761	
Debt Service	-	1,541	108,048	93,677	
Capital Projects					
Total Restricted Fund Balance	778,934	678,415	767,146	754,109	
Committed Fund Balance:					
Capital Projects	8,965,420	6,168,211	219,225	196,999	
Total Committed Fund Balance	8,965,420	6,168,211	219,225	196,999	
Unassigned Fund Balance	1,939,920	2,133,166	2,123,154	2,188,309	
Total Governmental Funds	\$11,684,274	\$ 8,979,792	\$ 3,179,880	\$ 3,139,417	

TABLE 3

T-10		<b>T</b> 7	
Fisc	വ	VA	ar
1.124			41

2012	2012 2013		2015	2016	2017	
\$ -	\$ 2,184	\$ 440	\$ -	\$ 2,477	\$ -	
	2,184	440		2,477		
26 167	15 665	12.705	10.407	2 907	6.655	
26,167	15,665	12,705	10,497	3,897	6,655	
23,450	33,813	22,888	19,203	31,177	20,910	
5,882	5,286	4,795	4,515	2,485	2,346	
35,890	31,702	29,833	53,002	58,284	61,001	
-	-	-	2,783	-	-	
-	-	-	-	135,491	362,248	
-	-	-	-	-	9,038	
524,912	563,116	684,370	747,282	733,861	807,430	
87,158	119,989	4,107	15,261	64,428	6,711	
-	-	-	4,301,770	3,651,769	2,396,308	
703,459	769,571	758,698	5,154,313	4,681,392	3,672,647	
165,852	192,482	6,283				
165,852	192,482	6,283				
2,496,562	2,924,244	3,786,300	1,660,768	2,101,643	1,995,426	
\$ 3,365,873	\$3,888,481	\$4,551,721	\$6,815,081	\$6,785,512	\$5,668,073	

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE – GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

	Fiscal Year					
	2008	2009	2010	2011		
REVENUES						
Taxes	\$ 2,932,471	\$ 3,323,816	\$ 3,520,791	\$ 3,591,052		
Franchise Fees	369,790	362,180	392,595	455,020		
Licenses and Permits	391,942	237,716	234,617	269,821		
Municipal Court	305,271	388,504	379,329	386,512		
Fire Department	335,327	308,328	75,305	75,060		
Grants	8,278	- -	10,171	416,050		
Interest	304,640	196,787	74,444	21,117		
Miscellaneous	28,239	41,147	84,738	85,537		
TOTAL REVENUES	4,675,958	4,858,478	4,771,990	5,300,169		
EXPENDITURES						
Current:						
City Council	2,709	4,607	1,601	2,220		
City Administration	198,646	284,472	635,668	624,710		
Dispatch	-	- -	-	-		
City Secretary	98,777	100,969	86,891	92,184		
Human Resources			· -	13,358		
Municipal Court	308,281	285,401	230,933	260,169		
Animal Control, Public Works	_		157,826	164,919		
Development Services	53,407	94,209	55,596	53,974		
Buildings and Grounds	389,461	389,759	182,595	245,973		
Police Department	1,405,025	1,592,574	1,583,501	1,359,034		
Emergency Services	193,781	246,857	259,704	-		
Fire Department	777,597	811,176	828,372	941,619		
Capital Outlay	1,649,450	2,850,076	5,692,182	800,496		
Debt Service:				ŕ		
Principal	300,000	480,000	455,000	503,805		
Interest and Fiscal Charges	565,351	422,860	402,033	383,828		
Settlement Expense	_		, -	_		
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	5,942,485	7,562,960	10,571,902	5,446,289		
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues						
Over (Under) Expenditures	(1,266,527)	(2,704,482)	(5,799,912)	(146,120)		
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES						
Proceeds from Debt	-	-	-	105,657		
Premium on Bond Issuance	-	-	-	-		
Proceeds from Sale of Land	-	-	-	-		
Insurance Proceeds	_	-	_	_		
Transfers In (Out)	_	-	_	_		
TOTAL OTHER FINANCING						
SOURCES (USES)				105,657		
Net Change in Fund Balance	\$ (1,266,527)	\$ (2,704,482)	\$ (5,799,912)	\$ (40,463)		
Debt Service as a Percentage of						
Noncapital Expenditures	20.16%	19.16%	17.56%	19.11%		

TABLE 4

•

2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
\$ 3,693,695	\$ 4,062,138	\$ 4,334,193	\$ 4,715,367	\$ 5,347,339	\$ 6,679,442
442,683	477,344	527,251	795,805	758,252	785,898
356,245	489,073	968,433	695,462	418,153	505,877
412,014	309,419	329,504	400,971	407,573	439,940
76,695	75,081	75,155	206,605	147,248	125,373
-	-	-	-	-	159,797
8,488	5,791	9,874	10,314	15,851	39,657
111,875	176,589	69,955	78,670	230,268	249,060
5,101,695	5,595,435	6,314,365	6,903,194	7,324,684	8,985,044
1,952	2,891	3,477	3,045	2,427	3,096
723,786	774,049	954,482	1,122,044	1,059,043	1,659,026
-	-	-	374,095	496,109	558,492
93,323	97,265	104,296	98,213	109,816	129,969
13,321	14,445	10,031	17,042	88,357	110,121
263,797	263,895	289,042	294,864	248,944	262,390
189,702	206,188	232,348	276,116	271,941	258,112
53,011	92,557	90,866	162,743	199,740	403,530
242,912	251,854	282,776	365,604	347,643	376,584
1,361,029	1,449,750	1,424,571	1,413,777	1,482,328	1,552,524
-	-	-	450,757	413,288	447,542
926,726	951,384	1,090,695	1,098,189	1,132,589	1,232,511
164,382	111,543	378,437	212,651	1,002,362	1,675,329
542.000	500,000	650,707	666 106	002 147	020 102
543,882	589,902	658,787	666,186	802,147	838,192
369,434	351,444	331,317	305,166	410,600	399,729
-			94,223		301,108
4,947,257	5,157,167	5,851,125	6,954,715	8,067,334	10,208,255
154,438	438,268	463,240	(51,521)	(742,650)	(1,223,211)
. , , , , ,		,	(- )-	(1 )-1-1)	
72,018	84,340	200,000	4,315,000	193,567	105,772
_	-	_	147,106	_	_
_	_	_		519,514	_
_	_	_	22,996		_
-	-	-	(2,170,221)	-	-
			<del></del>		
72,018	84,340	200,000	2,314,881	713,081	105,772
\$ 226,456	\$ 522,608	\$ 663,240	\$ 2,263,360	\$ (29,569)	\$ (1,117,439)
18.91%	18.85%	18.02%	15.76%	15.76%	15.65%

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS TAX REVENUE BY SOURCE, GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

TABLE 5

Fiscal				
Year	Property	Sales & Use	Franchise	Total
2008	\$ 2,166,166	\$ 766,305	\$ 369,790	\$ 3,302,261
2009	2,563,162	760,654	362,180	3,685,996
2010	2,649,748	871,043	392,595	3,913,386
2011	2,620,140	970,912	455,020	4,046,072
2012	2,638,917	1,054,778	442,683	4,136,378
2013	2,637,784	1,424,354	477,344	4,539,482
2014	2,688,925	1,645,268	527,251	4,861,444
2015	2,868,911	1,846,456	795,805	5,511,172
2016	3,139,203	2,208,136	758,252	6,105,591
2017	3,371,398	3,308,044	785,898	7,465,340

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS ASSESSED VALUE AND ACTUAL TAXABLE PROPERTY LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

TABLE 6

Fiscal					
Year			Less:	Total Taxable	Total
Ended	Residential	Commercial	Tax-Exempt	Assessed	Direct
September 30,	Property	Property	Property	Value*	Tax Rate
2008	\$ 597,429,473	\$ 67,132,639	\$ 18,807,600	\$ 645,754,512	0.336287
2009	639,537,759	113,424,621	45,305,554	707,656,826	0.363651
2010	653,565,423	115,942,834	47,975,420	721,532,837	0.363651
2011	652,132,270	120,241,773	49,651,853	722,722,190	0.363651
2012	663,227,472	120,004,340	51,751,163	731,480,649	0.360000
2013	677,033,974	124,391,838	56,356,942	745,068,870	0.355000
2014	697,083,124	146,200,980	75,978,756	767,305,348	0.350000
2015	741,137,332	147,042,320	62,375,351	825,804,301	0.350000
2016	822,061,262	173,966,055	81,203,521	914,823,796	0.350000
2017	880,405,573	202,593,210	90,399,368	992,599,415	0.350000

Source: Bexar Appraisal District.

<sup>\*</sup> Total Taxable Assessed Value and Total Estimated Actual Value of taxable property is the same.

The Taxable Assessed Value is further reduced by any freeze adjustments.

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING PROPERTY TAX RATES LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

TABLE 7

	City Direct Rates							
Fiscal	General	Debt	Total					
Year	Fund	Service	Direct					
2008	0.268311	0.067976	0.336287					
2009	0.275982	0.087669	0.363651					
2010	0.271140	0.092511	0.363651					
2011	0.282731	0.080920	0.363651					
2012	0.282640	0.077360	0.360000					
2013	0.273140	0.081860	0.355000					
2014	0.271503	0.078497	0.350000					
2015	0.271503	0.078497	0.350000					
2016	0.269894	0.080106	0.350000					
2017	0.278268	0.071732	0.350000					

			Overlapping Rates						
	Total		University	Alamo	San Antonio		Direct and		
Fiscal	Direct	Northside	Health	Community	River	Bexar	Overlapping		
Year	Rate	ISD	System	College	Authority	County	Rates		
2008	0.336287	1.262500	0.237408	0.134550	0.015951	0.326866	2.313562		
2009	0.363651	1.302500	0.261022	0.135855	0.015951	0.326866	2.405845		
2010	0.363651	1.337500	0.266235	0.135855	0.015951	0.326866	2.446058		
2011	0.363651	1.365500	0.276235	0.141623	0.016652	0.326866	2.490527		
2012	0.360000	1.375500	0.276235	0.141623	0.017370	0.326866	2.497594		
2013	0.355000	1.375500	0.276235	0.149150	0.017370	0.326866	2.500121		
2014	0.350000	1.375500	0.276235	0.149150	0.017798	0.326866	2.495549		
2015	0.350000	1.375500	0.276235	0.149150	0.017500	0.314500	2.482885		
2016	0.350000	1.375500	0.276235	0.149150	0.017290	0.314500	2.482675		
2017	0.350000	1.375500	0.276235	0.149150	0.017290	0.291229	2.459404		

Source: Information furnished by Bexar County Tax Assessor - Collector

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS PRINCIPAL PROPERTY TAX PAYERS CURRENT AND NINE YEARS AGO

TABLE 8

		2017			2008	
			Percentage of Total City			Percentage of Total City
	Taxable		Taxable	Taxable		Taxable
	Assessed		Assessed	Assessed		Assessed
<u>Taxpayer</u>	Value	Rank	Value	Value	Rank	Value
Wal Mart Stores Inc.	\$ 23,974,070	1	2.42%			
TKGSM-TX LP	7,948,580	2	0.80%	\$ 3,230,000	3	0.50%
Bandera Helotes Plaza LLC	7,852,607	3	0.79%	2,955,961	5	0.46%
CCP Shurgard Texas LTD	6,450,280	4	0.65%	2,584,116	6	0.40%
Helotes Town Centre LP	6,000,820	5	0.60%			
JDL Texas Ventures	5,049,306	6	0.51%	4,394,120	1	0.68%
White Turkey Creek LTD	4,957,130	7	0.50%			
4GB-1 LLC	4,588,700	8	0.46%			
Pela General Builders LLC	3,900,000	9	0.39%			
Youngquist Investments, Ltd.	2,475,796	10	0.25%			
Helotes Retail LTD				3,261,420	2	0.51%
Pulte Homes of Texas LP				3,144,570	4	0.49%
Shugard Texas LTD				2,465,980	7	0.38%
HSF Properties LLC				2,242,700	8	0.35%
JTH Development				1,600,000	9	0.25%
Brazos De Santos Partner				1,580,280	10	0.24%
Total	\$ 73,197,289	i	7.37%	\$27,459,147		3.75%

Taxable value of \$992,599,415

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

TABLE 9

Fiscal		Collected v				
Year	Taxes Levied	Fiscal Year of the Levy		Collections	Total Collect	ions to Date
Ended	for the		Percentage	In Subsequent		Percentage
September 30,	Fiscal Year	Amount	of Levy	Years	Amount	of Levy
2008	\$ 2,171,588	\$ 2,145,209	98.79%	25,624	\$ 2,170,833	99.97%
2009	2,574,083	2,532,144	98.37%	40,404	2,572,548	99.94%
2010	2,613,074	2,596,599	99.37%	14,599	2,611,198	99.93%
2011	2,614,869	2,591,187	99.09%	21,230	2,612,417	99.91%
2012	2,622,552	2,604,356	99.31%	16,279	2,620,635	99.93%
2013	2,635,251	2,618,686	99.37%	12,018	2,630,704	99.83%
2014	2,682,643	2,668,143	99.46%	8,660	2,676,803	99.78%
2015	2,866,335	2,846,427	99.31%	14,770	2,861,197	99.82%
2016	3,146,535	3,132,848	99.57%	6,825	3,139,673	99.78%
2017	3,379,649	3,361,931	99.48%	-	3,361,931	99.48%

Source: Bexar County Tax Assessor Collector

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS RATIOS OF OUTSTANDING DEBT BY TYPE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

TABLE 10

### Governmental Activities

		Activities				
	General	Certificates			Total	
Fiscal	Obligation	of	Capital	Note	Primary	Per
Year	Bonds	Obligation	Leases Payable		Government	Capita*
2008	\$ 35,000	\$10,850,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,885,000	1,629
2009	-	10,405,000	-	-	10,405,000	1,329
2010	-	9,950,000	-	-	9,950,000	1,250
2011	-	9,475,000	76,852	-	9,551,852	1,301
2012	-	8,975,000	104,987	-	9,079,987	1,286
2013	-	8,450,000	124,424	-	8,574,424	1,088
2014	-	7,905,000	60,637	150,000	8,115,637	1,001
2015	_	11,650,000	14,270	100,000	11,764,270	1,407
2016	_	10,965,000	141,780	50,000	11,156,780	1,299
2017	_	10,382,396	174,360	-	10,556,756	1,229
			*			

Note: Details regarding the City's outstanding debt can be found in the notes to the financial statements.

<sup>\*</sup> Per Capita is derived by dividing the total debt by the population reported on the Demographic and Economic Statistics Table (Table 12)

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS LEGAL DEBT MARGIN LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Texas municipalities are not bound by any direct constitutional or statutory maximums as to the amount of general obligation bonds which may be issued; however, all local bonds must be submitted to and approved by the State Attorney General. It is the established practice of the Attorney General not to approve a prospective bond issue if it will result in the levy of a tax for bonded debt of over \$1.00 for cities under a population of 5,000 or \$1.50 for the cities over 5,000 in population.

Taxable Value					\$ TABLE 11 799,874,837
Debt Limit - 10% of taxable value [1]					\$ 79,987,484
Amount of Debt Applicable to Debt Limit:					
Total Bonded Debt			\$	10,556,756	
Less Funds Available in Debt Service Fur	nd			6,711	
Total Amount of Debt Applicable to Debt I	Limit				 10,550,045
Legal Debt Margin					\$ 69,437,439
			F	iscal Year	
		2014		2015	 2016
Debt Limit Total Net Debt Applicable to Debt Limit Legal Debt Margin	\$	63,313,192 (8,111,530) 55,201,662	\$ 	67,595,035 (11,749,009) 55,846,026	\$ 74,438,083 (11,092,352) 63,345,731
		<del></del> _			 
		2011	r	Siscal Year 2012	 2013
Debt Limit Total Net Debt Applicable to Debt Limit Legal Debt Margin	\$	61,267,669 (9,458,175) 51,809,494	\$	61,623,027 (8,992,829) 52,630,198	\$ 62,466,659 (8,454,435) 54,012,224
			F	Fiscal Year	
		2008		2009	2010
Debt Limit Total Net Debt Applicable to Debt Limit	\$	64,575,291 (10,885,000)	\$	70,771,331 (10,403,459)	\$ 62,314,571 (9,841,952)
Legal Debt Margin	\$	53,690,291	\$	60,367,872	\$ 52,472,619

## CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC STATISTICS LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

TABLE 12

		Per Capita		Median	School	Unemployment
Year	Population	I	ncome	Age	Enrollment	Rate
2008	6,681	\$	29,534	38.9	3,292	5.1%
2009	7,831		29,534	38.9	3,272	7.2%
2010	7,960		29,534	38.9	3,420	7.8%
2011	7,341		32,123	41.1	4,371	7.9%
2012	7,060		31,335	41.1	4,161	6.8%
2013	7,880		41,549	41.1	4,031	5.5%
2014	8,104		42,053	41.1	4,256	4.3%
2015	8,364		42,053	44.4	4,284	3.7%
2016	8,591		42,772	44.4	4,448	3.5%
2017	8,758		45,272	43.6	4,415	3.2%

Sources: Population, per capita income and median age information provided by the United States Census Bureau. School enrollment information was provided by the Northside Independent School District. Unemployment rates were provided by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

### CITY OF HELOTES, TEXAS PRINCIPAL EMPLOYERS CURRENT YEAR AND EIGHT YEARS AGO

TABLE 13

		2017			2009	
			Percentage			Percentage
			of Total City			of Total City
Employer	Employees	Rank	Employment	Employees	Rank	Employment
Lackland Air Force Base	37,097	1	3.51%			
Fort Sam Houston	32,000	2	3.03%			
HEB Food Stores	20,000	3	1.89%	14,588	2	1.62%
USAA	17,000	4	1.61%	14,852	1	1.65%
Northside ISD	12,751	5	1.21%			
Randolph Air Force Base	11,068	6	1.05%			
North East ISD	10,052	7	0.95%			
City of San Antonio	9,145	8	0.86%			
Methodist Healthcare System	8,118	9	0.77%			
San Antonio ISD	7,000	10	0.66%			
AT&T				5,000	3	0.55%
Bill Miller BBQ				4,190	4	0.46%
Frost National Bank				3,982	5	0.44%
Valero				3,777	6	0.42%
Southwest Research				3,300	7	0.37%
Harland Clarke				3,100	8	0.34%
Citibank				3,000	9	0.33%
Wachovia				3,000	10	0.33%
Total	164,231		15.54%	58,789		6.51%

The City of Helotes, Texas is surrounded by the City of San Antonio, and no data is available for the employers in Helotes, Texas. The above data is for the City of San Antonio.

Source: San Antonio Economic Development Foundation

